

Stroke and Stroke RehabilitationQuality Measurement Set Update

Approved by the Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Work Group on November 4, 2016. Approved by the AAN Quality and Safety Subcommittee on November 10, 2016. Approved by AAN Practice Committee on November 28, 2016. Approved by AANI Board of Directors on December 14, 2016.

Contents

Work Group Members	5
Improving Outcome for Patients Following Stroke	7
Rationale for Measures	7
Importance and Prevalence of Stroke	7
Opportunity for Improvement	7
Clinical Evidence Base	7
Common Abbreviations and Definitions for the Measurement Set	8
2016 Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Measurement Set	9
Retired Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Measures	10
Other Potential Measures	10
Technical Specifications Overview	11
Measure Exclusions Versus Exceptions	11
Testing and Implementation of the Measurement Set	12
2016 Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Measure Specifications	13
Intravenous Fibrinolytic Treatment Measure Bundle	13
Acute Stroke Endovascular Treatment Measure Bundle	18
Endovascular Treatment and Imaging Measure Bundle	22
Carotid Imaging Measure	25
Defect Free Acute Inpatient Ischemic Stroke Measure Bundle	28
Current Measure #9: Patient/Caregiver Nutritional Preferences	34
Potentially Avoidable Complications Following Stroke	37
High and Moderate Intensity Statin Therapy Following Stroke	41
Cognitive Impairment Screening Following Stroke	45
Current Measure #6: Rehabilitation Services Assessed	48
Post-Acute Ischemic Stroke Screening and Care Measure Bundle	51
Functional Outcome Assessment Following Recanalization Therapy for Acute Ischemic Stroke	59
Contact Information	62

AANI and PCPI Disclaimer

The Measures are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care, and have not been tested for all potential applications.

The Measures, while copyrighted, can be reproduced and distributed, without modification, for noncommercial purposes, e.g., use by health care providers in connection with their practices. Commercial use is defined as the sale, license, or distribution of the Measures for commercial gain, or incorporation of the Measures into a product or service that is sold, licensed or distributed for commercial gain.

Commercial uses of the Measures require a license agreement between the user and the PCPI® Foundation (PCPI®) or American Academy of Neurology Institute (AANI). Neither AANI, nor the American Medical Association (AMA), nor the AMA-convened Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement® (AMA-PCPI), now known as the PCPI, nor their members shall be responsible for any use of the Measures.

The AMA's, AMA-PCPI's and National Committee for Quality Assurance's significant past efforts and contributions to the development and updating of the Measures is acknowledged. AANI is solely responsible for the review and enhancement ("Maintenance") of the Measures as of July 29, 2014.

AANI encourage use of the Measures by other health care professionals, where appropriate.

THE MEASURES AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.

© 2017 PCPI® Foundation and American Academy of Neurology Institute. All Rights Reserved. This notice applies to the following measure:

• Measure #9 – Caregiver Nutritional Preferences

Limited proprietary coding is contained in the Measure specifications for convenience. Users of the proprietary code sets should obtain all necessary licenses from the owners of these code sets. AANI, AMA, the PCPI and its members and former members of the AMA-PCPI disclaim all liability for use or accuracy of any Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) or other coding contained in the specifications.

CPT® contained in the Measures specifications is copyright 2004-2015 American Medical Association. LOINC® copyright 2004-2015 Regenstrief Institute, Inc. SNOMED CLINICAL TERMS (SNOMED CT®) copyright 2004-2015 The International Health Terminology Standards Development Organisation (IHTSDO). ICD-10 is copyright 2015 World Health Organization. All Rights Reserved.

AANI Disclaimer

Performance Measures (Measures) and related data specifications developed by the American Academy of Neurology Institute (AANI) are intended to facilitate quality improvement activities by providers. This notice applies to the following measures:

Intravenous Fibrinolytic Treatment Measure Bundle
Acute Stroke Endovascular Treatment Measure Bundle
Endovascular Treatment and Imaging Measure Bundle
Carotid Imaging Measure
Defect Free Acute Inpatient Ischemic Stroke Measure Bundle
Potentially Avoidable Complications Following Stroke
High and Moderate Intensity Statin Following Stroke
Cognitive Impairment Following Stroke
Rehabilitation Services Assessed
Post-Acute Ischemic Stroke Screening and Care

Functional Outcome Assessment for Acute Ischemic Stroke who Received Recanalization Therapy

AANI Measures: 1) are not clinical guidelines and do not establish a standard of medical care, and have not been tested for all potential applications; 2) are not continually updated and may not reflect the most recent information; and 3) are subject to review and may be revised or rescinded at any time by the AANI. The measures, while copyrighted, can be reproduced and distributed, without modification, for noncommercial purposes (e.g., use by health care providers in connection with their practices); they must not be altered without prior written approval from the AANI. Commercial use is defined as the sale, license, or distribution of the measures for commercial gain, or incorporation of the measures into a product or service that is sold, licensed, or distributed for commercial gain. Commercial uses of the measures require a license agreement between the user and the AANI. Neither the AANI nor its members are responsible for any use of the measures.

AANI Measures and related data specifications do not mandate any particular course of medical care and are not intended to substitute for the independent professional judgment of the treating provider, as the information does not account for individual variation among patients. In all cases, the selected course of action should be considered by the treating provider in the context of treating the individual patient. Use of the information is voluntary. AANI provides this information on an "as is" basis, and make no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding the information. AANI specifically disclaims any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. AANI assume no responsibility for any injury or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to any use of this information or for any errors or omissions.

©2017 American Academy of Neurology Institute. All rights reserved.

Limited proprietary coding is contained in the measure specifications for convenience. Users of the proprietary coding sets should obtain all necessary licenses from the owners of these code sets. The AAN and its members disclaim all liability for use or accuracy of any Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) or other coding contained in the specifications. ICD-10 copyright 2012 International Health Terminology Standards Development Organization

CPT ® is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association and is copyright 2012. CPT® codes contained in the Measure specifications are copyright 2004-2013 American Medical Association.

Work Group Members

Co-Chairs

Julius Gene S. Latorre, MD, MPH, FAAN David Seidenwurm, MD Steven Flanagan, MD

American Academy of Neurology
Samir R. Belagaje, MD, FAAN
Salvador Cruz-Flores, MD, MPH, FAAN
Kenneth J. Gaines, MD, MBA, FAAN
Ravi Menon, MD
William J. Powers, MD, FAAN
Gustavo Saposnik, MD, MSc, FAHA,
FRCPC
Jason J. Sico, MD, MHS, FAHA, FACP

American Association of Neuroscience
Nurses
Judi Kuric, DNP, ACNP-BC, CNRN, SCRN

American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Richard L. Harvey, MD

American College of Radiology and American Society of Neuroradiology Pina C. Sanelli, MD, MPH, FACR

American College of Radiology, American Society of Neuroradiology, and Society of Neurointerventional Surgery
Joshua A. Hirsch, MD

American Heart Association/American

Stroke Association

Lee H. Schwamm, MD

Terrie M. Black, DNP, MBA, RN, CRRN,
FAHA

Teri Ackerson, RN, BSN, SCRN

AMDA- The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine
Barbara Messinger-Rapport, MD, PhD, FACP, CMD

American Occupational Therapy
Association
Timothy Reistetter, PhD, OTR, FAOTA

American Physical Therapy Association Jane E. Sullivan, PT, DHS, MS

American Society of Neurorehabilitation Marilyn MacKay-Lyons, PhD, PT

Arkansas Blue Cross Blue Shield Cygnet A. Schroeder, DO

Independent
Elizabeth Kim, MSN, APN, ACNS-BC,
FAHA

Neurocritical Care Society
Anne W. Alexandrov, PhD, RN, ANVP-BC,
FAAN
Denise H. Rhoney, Pharm.D, FCCP, FCCM,
FNCS

Society of Neurointerventional Surgery Michael Chen, MD

Society of Vascular and Interventional Neurology Roberta Robin Novakovic, MD

Young Stroke Lara Howells, RN, BSN

<u>Facilitators</u>
Michael Phipps, MD, MHS
Anant Shenoy, MD

American Academy of Neurology Staff Amy Bennett, JD Gina Gjorvad Erin Lee Katie Leivian Becky Schierman, MPH

Improving Outcome for Patients Following Stroke

Rationale for Measures

The American Academy of Neurology (AAN) assumed stewardship for the AMA – PCPI developed stroke and stroke rehabilitation measures in 2011. In 2015, the AAN evaluated the measurement set for potential updates and seated a work group to update the existing measure set. The AAN partnered with the American College of Radiology (ACR) and American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (AAPMR) to chair this project. This work group was charged with developing measures focused on improving outcomes for patients following stroke. The work group includes representatives from professional associations, patient advocacy organizations, and payers to ensure measures developed included input from all members of the healthcare team and other relevant stakeholders. All members were required to disclose relationships with industry and other entities to avoid actual, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest.

Importance and Prevalence of Stroke

"On average, every 40 seconds, someone in the United States has a stroke, and someone dies of one approximately every 4 minutes." Stroke is the fifth leading cause of death in the USii, and for black Americans it is the third leading cause of death. It is estimated that approximately 795,000 people experience a new or recurrent stroke each year with approximately 610,000 experiencing first events and 185,000 experiencing recurrent stroke events. One in every 20 deaths in the United States was caused by a stroke in 2011. Stroke is a leading cause of serious long-term disability.

It is estimated the annual cost for stroke and cardiovascular disease was \$320.1 billion with \$195.6 billion in direct costs that include hospital services, medications, home health care and professional services and \$124.5 billion in indirect costs. Vii Strokes alone cost the United States \$34 billion each year including cost of health care services, medications to treat stroke, and missed days of work. Viii

Opportunity for Improvement

Strokes occur at any age, and risk increases with age.^{ix} Nearly half of older stroke survivors experience moderate to severe disability.^x The risk of having a stroke varies with race and ethnicity as the risk of having a first stroke is nearly twice as high for blacks than for whites, and blacks are more likely to die following a stroke than are whites^{xi} with mortality of blacks 3x that of whites between the ages of 45 to 54 years old.^{xii} American Indians, Alaska Natives, and blacks are more likely to have had a stroke than are other groups.^{xiii} The CDC has also noted that stroke prevalence increases in the Southeastern portion of the United States.^{xiv}

Timely treatment of stroke is vital as patients who arrive for emergency care within 3 hours of first symptoms tend to have less disability 3 months after a stroke than those who received delayed care.^{xv}

Additional information on treatment gaps in care and opportunity for improvement are included in the individual measure specifications that follow.

Clinical Evidence Base

A comprehensive search to identify published guidelines, measures, and consensus recommendations in the National Guidelines Clearinghouse, the National Quality Measures Clearinghouse, PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and the Cochrane Library occurred. The work group consulted the following clinical practice guidelines published since the release of the prior measure set, with the following serving as the base of the measure drafts:

- 2005 Management of Adult Stroke Rehabilitation Care. A Clinical Practice Guideline. xvi
- 2008 US Department of Health and Human Services. Treating tobacco use and dependence^{xvii}

- 2009 AHA Comprehensive overview of nursing and interdisciplinary care of the acute ischemic stroke patient xviii
- 2011 ASGE The role of endoscopy in enteral feeding^{xix}
- 2013 AHA/ASA Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients with Acute Ischemic Strokexx
- 2013 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Treatment of Blood Cholesterol to Reduce Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in Adults^{xxi}
- 2014 Guidelines for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients with Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack^{xxii}
- 2014 AHA/ASA Palliative and end-of-life care in stroke xxiii
- 2014 AHA/ASA Physical activity and exercise recommendations for stroke survivors xxiv
- 2015 AHA/ASA focused update of the 2013 guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke regarding endovascular treatment xxv
- 2015 National Lipid Association recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia: part 1--full report. xxvi
- 2015 Canadian Stroke Best Practice Recommendations: Mood, Cognition and Fatigue Following Stroke practice guidelines, update 2015**xxvii
- 2016 American Diabetes Association. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes. xxviii
- 2016 AHA/ASA Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery xxix

Common Abbreviations and Definitions for the Measurement Set

Below is a list of acronyms utilized in this document. The AAN has a Quality Improvement Glossary, which provides more in depth explanations and is available at aan.com/practice/quality-measures/quality-resources.

- AAN: American Academy of Neurology
- AHA: American Heart Association
- ANH: Artificial Nutrition and Hydration
- ASA: American Stroke Association
- ASCVD: Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease
- CMS: Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services
- CPR:
- CT: Computed Tomography
- CVD: Cardiovascular Disease
- DM: Diabetes Mellitus
- DNR: Do Not Resuscitate
- DVT: Deep Vein Thrombosis
- EHR: Electronic Health Record
- ET: Endovascular Treatment
- IV: Intravenous
- LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol

- LKW: Last Known Well time
- MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- mRS: Modified Rankin Score
- MV: Mechanical Ventilation
- NIH: National Institutes of Health
- NIHSS: NIH Stroke Scale
- NQF: National Quality Forum
- PE: Pulmonary Embolism
- PQRS: Physician Quality Reporting System
- PSD: Post Stroke Depression
- t-PA: Tissue Plasminogen Activator
- TIA: Transient Ischemic Attack
- TICI: Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction
- VCI: Vascular Cognitive Impairment
- VKA: Vitamin K Antagonist

For the purposes of these quality measures, any reference to <u>intracranial hemorrhage</u> will include ONLY <u>non-traumatic intraparenchymal hemorrhage</u> and <u>non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage</u>, <u>unless one or the other is specified</u>; it will NOT include subdural or epidural hemorrhages or traumatic intraparenchymal or subarachnoid hemorrhage.

For this document, the work group has defined "Post-Acute Care" as including Long Term Care Hospitals (LTCHs), Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNFs), Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities (IRFs), and Home Health Agencies (HHAs) as to mirror the CMS's post-acute care specifications.xxx

2016 Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Measurement Set

The following measures were approved by the work group, including process and outcome measures:

Measure #	Measure Title
	Intravenous Fibrinolytic Treatment Measure Bundle
	Acute Stroke Endovascular Treatment Measure Bundle
	Endovascular Treatment and Imaging Measure Bundle
	Carotid Imaging Measure
	Defect Free Acute Inpatient Ischemic Stroke Measure Bundle
Measure 9	Patient/Caregiver Nutritional Preferences - Updated in 2016
	Potentially Avoidable Complications Following Stroke
	High and Moderate Intensity Statin Following Stroke
	Cognitive Impairment Following Stroke
Measure 6	Rehabilitation Services Assessed –Updated in 2016
	Post-Acute Ischemic Stroke Screening and Care Measure Bundle
	Functional Outcome Assessment Following Recanalization Therapy for Acute
	Ischemic Stroke

This measurement set includes measure bundles, which are calculated using an all-or-none calculation. All-or-none calculation requires each component be completed to meet measure performance, with equal weighting of components. These bundles are valuable given their patient focus and indication of commitment to the highest quality of care. Providers and practices may find it beneficial to identify which component measures were not satisfied to identify areas of practice where quality improvement can occur. The work group notes that many of these component measures are currently available as independent measures in accountability programs (e.g., NQF #18/PQRS #2326 Controlling High Blood Pressure, NQF #0028/PQRS #226 Preventive Care and Screening: Tobacco Use: Screening and Cessation, etc.). It is not the work group's intent to replace those measures with these bundled measure, but to compliment them providing practices and providers with a summary of overall performance of care on identified topics.

The work group approved an outcome measure evaluating Potentially Avoidable Complications Following Stroke. The work group is releasing the measure for use in quality improvement efforts only at this time. Possible calculation and risk adjustment strategies will be evaluated and tested. Pending the results of this evaluation, additional specifications may be released including specifying the measure for use in accountability programs.

The work group developed and collected public comments on a measure evaluating Advance Care Directive and Surrogate Decision-maker Established Following Stroke. The measure was not further developed as the AAN released the Discussion and Documentation of Advance Directives measures in the Inpatient and Emergency Measurement Set available at: https://www.aan.com/practice/quality-measures/ A separate measure was not needed given the application of the existing measure to stroke populations. Providers and practices are encouraged to adopt this measure for use in stroke populations as warranted.

Retired Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Measures

Measures may be retired for several reasons including but not limited to 1) the measure is no longer clinically relevant or supported by current guidelines and evidence, 2) a treatment gap in care no longer remains with clinician performance consistently high, 3) measure harmonization with other measures existing in the field, 4) demonstrated poor reliability, feasibility, or validity following testing, or 5) significant unintended consequences were found.

2011 Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Measurement Set	
Measure 1	Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) Prophylaxis for Ischemic Stroke or Intracranial
	Hemorrhage (NQF#0340) – Retired in 2016
Measure 2	Discharged on Antithrombotic Therapy (NQF#0325) – Retired in 2016
Measure 3	Anticoagulant Therapy Prescribed for Atrial Fibrillation at Discharge (NQF# 0241) –
	Retired in 2016
Measure 4a	Tissue Plasminogen Activator (t-PA) Considered (Paired Measure) – Retired in 2016
Measure 4b	Tissue Plasminogen Activator (t-PA) Initiated (Paired Measure) –
	Retired in 2016
Measure 5	Screening for Dysphagia (NQF#0243) – Retired in 2016
Measure 6	Rehabilitation Services Ordered (NQF#0244) – Updated in 2016
Measure 7	Avoidance of Intravenous Heparin - Retired in 2011
Measure 8	Computed Tomography (CT) or Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Reports –
	Retired in 2016
Measure 9	Artificial Feeding Patient/Caregiver Preferences – Updated in 2016
Measure 10a	Potentially Avoidable Harmful Events: Urinary Tract Infection – Retired in 2016
Measure 10b	Potentially Avoidable Harmful Events: Stage III or Greater Decubiti – Retired in 2016
Measure 10c	Potentially Avoidable Harmful Events: Fall with Fracture or Acute Subdural
	Hematoma – Retired in 2016
Measure 11	Lipid Management – Retired in 2016
Measure 12	Blood Pressure Control – Retired in 2016
Measure 13	Imaging for Transient Ischemic Attack or Ischemic Stroke – Retired in 2016

The work group reviewed the prior measurement set and voted to retire multiple measures in order to develop bundled or composite measures that would accurately record performance on multiple components in the aggregate. Imaging measures were combined and refined with previous treatment measures. Potentially Avoidable Complications were retired to form a new outcome measure. The work group retired the Lipid Management measure due to a change in the evidence base, and developed a new High Intensity Statin measure.

Other Potential Measures

The work group discussed multiple alternate measures. Ultimately these measures were not included in this update, but the concepts will be retained for future measurement set updates as more evidence may support development or a treatment gap in care at that time. The work group discussed but did *not* approve a Non-Acute Stroke and TIA Imaging Measure nor a measure on Advanced Directives (See above rationale).

The work group prior to meeting discussed development of standalone depression, anxiety, and pain screening measure following stroke. A standalone measure was not developed, but the concept was incorporated into the Post-Acute Ischemic Stroke Screening and Care measure. Multiple depression and

pain measures are available for individuals interested in monitoring performance on these issues, including measures endorsed by the National Quality Forum, which can be reviewed at qualityforum.org.

The work group discussed development of a functional status assessment prior to inpatient discharge following stroke. The work group did not develop as a separate measure would be redundant from the updated rehabilitation measure #6 and lack of agreement on a standard tool to measure given providers have multiple tools used to meet the needs of their patients.

Return to driving following stroke was discussed and it was determined the evidence is not ripe for development at this time. The work group believes further guideline statements, systematic reviews and/or randomized clinical trial data are needed to develop a fully specified measure that could be of use to providers.

A similar discussion occurred surrounding sleep apnea testing following stroke. Sleep apnea is prevalent in patients following stroke and there is a known treatment gap in care. However, a consensus could not be reached on denominator population and what sleep apnea testing should be implemented following stroke. It is hoped further guideline statements, systematic reviews and/or randomized clinical trial data are developed in the coming years to develop a fully specified measure that could be of use to providers.

The work group evaluated development of hemicraniectomy and care preferences following stroke. Ultimately, these concepts were not further developed as a measure was created to address goals of care following stroke. It is believed this measure incorporates these concepts under a broader umbrella measure ensuring treatment goals were addressed.

Technical Specifications Overview

The Work Group developed technical specifications for measures that may include:

- Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data
- Chart Review (for select measures where EHR data cannot be gathered)
- Registry

The AAN is in the process of creating code value sets and the logic required for electronic capture of the quality measures with EHRs, when possible. A listing of the quality data model elements, code value sets, and measure logic (through the CMS Measure Authoring Tool) for each of the measures will be made available at a later date. These technical specifications will be updated as warranted.

The measurement set includes measures that require the use of validated screening or other assessment tools. The Work Group discussed more and less prescriptive ways to select these tools, eventually determining that multiple tools should be offered to allow providers to determine which tool best meets their individual practice needs. A finite list of tools is included so measurement data can be gathered via electronic and registry collection methods. In some cases, tools may be subject to copyright and require licensing fees.

Measure Exclusions Versus Exceptions

A denominator exclusion is a factor supported by the clinical evidence that removes a patient from inclusion *in the measure population*. For example, if the denominator indicates an age range from 0 to 18 years of age, a patient who is 19 years of age would be excluded.

A denominator exception is a condition that should remove the patient, procedure or unit of measurement from the denominator *only if the numerator criteria are not met*. The AAN includes three classes of possible exceptions: medical (e.g., contraindication), patient (e.g., refusal, religious belief), or system

Do Not Cite. Draft for Work Group Review.

(e.g., resource limitation) reasons. For each measure, the rationale justifying an exception for a medical, patient, or system reason must be clear. The Work Group provided explicit exceptions when applicable, for ease of use in eMeasure development.

Testing and Implementation of the Measurement Set

The measures in this set are being made available without any prior testing. The AAN encourages testing of this measurement set for feasibility and reliability by organizations or individuals positioned to do so. Select measures will be beta tested once the set has been released, prior to submission to the National Quality Forum for possible endorsement.

2016 Stroke and Stroke Rehabilitation Measure Specifications

Intravenous Fibrinolytic Treatment Measure Bundle

Measure Description

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke presenting within 4.5 hours from last known well (LKW) who received optimal intravenous fibrinolytic treatment evaluation and management based upon their eligibility for all 5 performance components.

e variation and the	anagement based upon their engionity for an 3 performance components.
Measure Specific	eations
Numerator	Patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke presenting within
Statement	4.5 hours from LKW and received all 5 evaluation and management treatment
	components:
	Component 1: NIH Stroke Scale (NIHSS) documented
	Documentation of NIHSS on presentation, or prior to the initiation of
	intravenous fibrinolytic treatment
	Component 2: Intravenous fibrinolytic treatment eligibility assessment documented
	Documentation of eligibility for intravenous fibrinolytic treatment.
	Component 3: Intravenous fibrinolytic treatment documentation
	Documentation of time to initiation of intravenous fibrinolytic therapy.
	If not eligible, documentation of appropriate exclusion criteria fulfills this
	component.
	Component 4 : Intravenous fibrinolytic treatment initiated within 60 minutes from
	presentation (i.e., arrival to ED or discovery of symptoms if in-patient stroke)
	Initiation of intravenous fibrinolysis treatment less than 60 minutes from
	presentation
	o If initiation greater than 60 minutes from presentation, documentation
	of appropriate reason for delay. See below technical specifications for
	acceptable and NOT acceptable reasons.
	o If not patient eligible, documentation of appropriate exclusion criteria
	fulfills this component.
	Component 5: Non-contrast brain CT or MRI interpreted within 45 minutes from
	<u>presentation</u>
	Documentation of CT or MRI brain imaging interpretation within 45 minutes
	of presentation
Denominator	All acute ischemic stroke patients aged 18 years and older presenting within 4.5 hours
Statement	from LKW
Denominator	Component 4 only
Exceptions	Patients presenting after 3.5 hours from LKW as the 60-minute window to
	treatment is not available to them.
	Patients presenting from outside hospital who already received intravenous
	fibrinolytic therapy
Exception	An exception is needed as patients presenting after 3.5 hours from LKW may not be
Justification	eligible for intravenous fibrinolytic therapy as they would potentially fall out of time
	window for treatment. Patients presenting from outside hospital who already received
	intravenous fibrinolytic therapy are not appropriate for the current measure given care
	received impacts timing of measure components.
Cunnouting	The following clinical recommendation statements are greated worked in from the
Supporting Guideline &	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the
Guidenne &	referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:
	1

Other Component 1: NIHSS documented References "The use of a stroke rating scale, preferably the NIHSS, is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence B)." (1) Component 2: Intravenous fibrinolytic treatment eligibility assessment documented "Intravenous rtPA (0.9 mg/kg, maximum dose 90 mg) is recommended for selected patients who may be treated within 3 hours of onset of ischemic stroke (Class I; Level of Evidence A). Physicians should review the criteria outlined in Tables 10 and 11 (which are modeled on those used in the NINDS Trial) to determine the eligibility of the patient."(1) Component 3: Intravenous fibrinolytic treatment documentation "In patients eligible for intravenous rtPA, benefit of therapy is time dependent, and treatment should be initiated as quickly as possible."(1) Component 4: Intravenous fibrinolytic treatment initiated within 60 minutes from presentation (i.e. arrival to ED or discovery of symptoms if in-patient stroke) "The door-to-needle time (time of bolus administration) should be within 60 minutes from hospital arrival (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(1) "Intravenous rtPA is reasonable in patients whose blood pressure can be lowered safely (to below 185/110 mm Hg) with antihypertensive agents, with the physician assessing the stability of the blood pressure before starting intravenous rtPA (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(1) Component 5: Non-Contrast Brain CT or MRI Interpreted within 45 minutes from presentation "Emergency imaging of the brain is recommended before initiating any specific therapy to treat acute ischemic stroke (Class I; Level of Evidence A). In most instances, NECT will provide the necessary information to make decisions about emergency management."(1) "Either NECT or MRI is recommended before intravenous rtPA administration to exclude ICH (absolute contraindication) and to determine whether CT hypodensity or MRI hyperintensity of ischemia is present (Class I; Level of Evidence A)." (1) "In intravenous fibrinolysis candidates, the brain imaging study should be interpreted within 45 minutes of patient arrival in the ED by a physician with expertise in reading CT and MRI studies of the brain parenchyma (Class I; Level of Evidence C)." (1) Component 1: The NIHSS is a validated tool for assessing the initial stroke severity. Relationship to An objective, standardized assessment of stroke severity is essential for determining Desired Outcome eligibility for thrombolytic therapy, is the main determinant of short-term and long-

Component 1: The NIHSS is a validated tool for assessing the initial stroke severity. An objective, standardized assessment of stroke severity is essential for determining eligibility for thrombolytic therapy, is the main determinant of short-term and long-term prognosis from stroke, and facilitates communication of stroke severity between health care providers. NIHSS assessment should improve patient outcome by enabling other processes of care when appropriate.(2)

Component 2: Appropriate selection of patients using validated inclusion and exclusion criteria ensures safe administration of intravenous fibrinolytic therapy.

Component 3: Excellent outcomes on individual functional measures were more frequent with intravenous fibrinolytic treatment for global disability (40% vs 28%), global outcome (43% vs 32%), activities of daily living (53% vs 38%) and neurological deficit (34% vs 20%) compared with no treatment.(1) Component 4: Mounting evidence suggests that the earlier the time to treatment, the greater the treatment effect of intravenous fibrinolytic therapy Component 5: Timely brain imaging and interpretation is critical to the rapid evaluation and management of patients with ischemic stroke, affecting immediate and long term treatment decisions. A collaborative national quality improvement initiative report showed that the median **Opportunity** door to needle time for tPA administration was 77 minutes (IQR 60-98) and door to for needle time for tPA administration of 60 minutes or less was only 26.5% of patients, **Improvement** improving to 67 minutes and 41.3% during post intervention period. This improvement was associated with reduced in-hospital mortality, symptomatic intracranial hemorrhage and increased discharge to home.(3) Further improvement in patient outcome is expected with improving door to needle time. Unintended consequences of measure use were evaluated, as there is a potential for stroke mimics to receive inappropriate thrombolytic care. Currently, rapid treatment is justified given the risk of harm is low for those with stroke mimics(4), and potential consequences of not receiving tPA for those who are clinically appropriate. The work group did not create an exception for those receiving intravenous treatment only given current evidence supports improved functional independence when mechanical thrombectomy is combined with standard intravenous thrombolysis.(5) Unintended consequences will continue to be monitored and evaluated during the next update of this stroke measurement set. The work group notes that individual components will occur in emergency department and inpatient care settings and that performance scores will be comprised of total performance across care teams, and not isolated to one area of care. National ☐ Patient and Family Engagement Quality ☐ Patient Safety Strategy ☐ Care Coordination **Domains** ☐ Population/Public Health ☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources ☑ Clinical Process/Effectiveness The definitions and specifications used in the components of this measure are similar Harmonization with Existing to those collected in the commonly employed stroke measures (i.e., Joint Commission and/or AHA/ASA), ensuring parsimony in data collection strategies. A separate Measures measure is being created to monitor global performance for quality improvement. NQF#2864 CSTK 01: NIHSS Score Performed for Ischemic Stroke Patients. Patients for whom an initial NIHSS score performed prior to acute recanalization therapy and documented or documented within 12 hours of arrival for patients who do not undergo recanalization therapy. Measure modified for use in this measure bundle to focus on documentation of score prior to initiation of treatment.

	,
	NQF#1952 Time to Intravenous Thrombolytic Therapy. AIS patients who receive IV t-PA from time of arrival to initiation (door-to-needle time) of 60 minutes or less. Measure components were mirrored and added to bundled measure. NQF#0437 STK 04: Thrombolytic Therapy. Measure captures proportion of AIS patients who arrive within 2 hours of LKN for whom IV t-PA was initiated within 3 hours. Measure components were mirrored and added to bundled measure. Joint Commission AMI-7 documentation of delay language was mirrored for measure component. NQF #0661 Head CT or MRI Scan Results for AIS or Hemorrhagic Stroke Patients who Received Head CT or MRI Scan Interpretation within 45 minutes of ED Arrival. Measure components were mirrored and added to bundled measure.
Measure	☑ Quality improvement
Purpose (Check	⊠ Accountability
all that apply)	
Type of	⊠Process
Measure	□ Outcome
(Check all that	□Structure
apply)	
Level of Measurement	☐Individual Provider
(Check all that	☑ Practice
apply)	⊠ System
Care Setting	
(Check all that	
apply)	□Outpatient
	□ Post-Acute Care
Data Source	☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data
(Check all that	□Administrative Data/Claims
apply)	⊠Chart Review
	⊠ Registry
Technical Specifi	

Component 4: Reasons for Delay

Mirrored from Joint Commission "Reason for Delay in Fibrinolytic Therapy"(6)

System reasons for delay are NOT acceptable, regardless of any linkage to the delay in fibrinolysis/reperfusion

- Equipment-related
- Staff-related
- Consultation with other clinician

Examples of ACCEPTABLE documentation"

- "Hold on fibrinolytics. Will do CAT scan to r/o bleed."
- "Patient waiting for family or clergy to arrive wishes to consult with them before thrombolysis."
- "Fibrinolysis delayed due to management of airway, breathing, or circulation emergency before administering fibrinolysis."

References

- Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP Jr, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, and Council on Clinical Cardiology. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2013;44(3):870-947.
- 2. Smith EE, Saver JL, Alexander DN, et al.; on behalf of the AHA/ASA Stroke Performance Oversight Committee. Clinical performance measures for adults hospitalized with acute ischemic stroke: performance measures for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45(11):3472-3498.
- 3. Fonarow GC, Zhoa X, Smith EE, et al. Door-to-needle times for tissue plasminogen activator administration and clinical outcomes in acute ischemic stroke before and after a quality improvement initiative. JAMA. 2014; 311(16):1632-1640.
- 4. Zinkstok SM, Engelter ST, Gensicke H, et al. Safety of thrombolysis in stroke mimics. Stroke. 2013;44:1080-1084.
- 5. Bracard S, Ducrocq X, Mas JL, et al. Mechanical thrombectomy after intravenous alteplase versus alteplase alone after stroke (THRACE): a randomized controlled trial. Lancet Neurology 2016; 15(11):1138-1147.
- 6. Joint Commission. Specifications Manual for Joint Commission National Quality Core Measures (2010A1) Available at: https://manual.jointcommission.org/releases/archive/TJC2010B/DataElem0118.html Accessed on September 14, 2016.

Acute Stroke Endovascular Treatment Measure Bundle

l	Measure Description	
I	Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke presenting within 6	
ı		

hours from last known well (LKW) who received optimal endovascular treatment evaluation and management based upon their eligibility for 2 performance components.

management base	ed upon their engionity for 2 performance components.
Measure Specific	cations
Numerator Statement	Patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke presenting within 6 hours from LKW and received all the following treatment evaluation and management: Component 1: NIHSS Documented Documentation of NIHSS on presentation or prior to initiation of endovascular therapy if administered Component 2: Endovascular Treatment Eligibility Assessment Documented Documentation of eligibility for endovascular treatment using a defined inclusion and exclusion criteria AND Documentation of treatment decision within 1 hour of presentation to include the following details: If not eligible, documentation of appropriate exclusion criteria must be done. If eligible, documentation of referral for endovascular treatment must be done (referral to an outside endovascular treatment center is
	acceptable)
Denominator	All acute ischemic stroke patients aged 18 years and older presenting within 6 hours
Statement Denominator	from LKW None
Exceptions	None
Exception	Not applicable
Justification	
Supporting Guideline & Other References	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure: Component 1: NIHSS documented

- d) Age \geq 18 years,
- e) NIHSS score of ≥ 6 ,
- f) ASPECTS of ≥ 6 , and
- g) Treatment can be initiated (groin puncture) within 6 hours of symptom onset"
- "In carefully selected patients with anterior circulation occlusion who have contraindications to intravenous r-tPA, endovascular therapy with stent retrievers completed within 6 hours of stroke onset is reasonable (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C). Inadequate data are available at this time to determine the clinical efficacy of endovascular therapy with stent retrievers for those patients whose contraindications are time based or not time based (eg, prior stroke, serious head trauma, hemorrhagic coagulopathy, or receiving anticoagulant medications)."(2)
- "Patients should be transported rapidly to the closest available certified primary stroke center or comprehensive stroke center or, if no such centers exist, the most appropriate institution that provides emergency stroke care as described in the 2013 guidelines (Class I; Level of Evidence A). In some instances, this may involve air medical transport and hospital bypass."(2)
- "Regional systems of stroke care should be developed. These should consist of the following:
 - a) Healthcare facilities that provide initial emergency care, including administration of intravenous r-tPA, such as primary stroke centers, comprehensive stroke centers, and other facilities, and
 - b) Centers capable of performing endovascular stroke treatment with comprehensive periprocedural care, including comprehensive stroke centers and other healthcare facilities, to which rapid transport can be arranged when appropriate (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(2)
- "It may be useful for primary stroke centers and other healthcare facilities that provide initial emergency care, including administration of intravenous r-tPA, to develop the capability of performing emergency noninvasive intracranial vascular imaging to most appropriately select patients for transfer for endovascular intervention and to reduce the time to endovascular treatment (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C)."(2)
- "Endovascular therapy requires the patient to be at an experienced stroke center with rapid access to cerebral angiography and qualified neurointerventionalists. Systems should be designed, executed, and monitored to emphasize expeditious assessment and treatment. Outcomes for all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(2)

Relationship to Desired Outcome

The NIHSS is a validated tool for assessing the initial stroke severity. An objective, standardized assessment of stroke severity is essential for determining eligibility for thrombolytic therapy, is the main determinant of short-term and long-term prognosis

	from stroke, and facilitates communication of stroke severity between health care
	providers.
	In carefully selected patients, rapid endovascular treatment for acute ischemic stroke has been shown to improve patient outcome and reduce mortality.(2) A recent meta-
	analysis, concluded that for patients with large-vessel ischemic stroke, earlier
	combined treatment with endovascular therapy and medical therapy compared with
	medical therapy alone was associated with lower degrees of disability on the mRS at 3
	month follow-up with benefit becoming non-significant after 7.3 hours.(3)
Opportunity	In patients with proximal vessel occlusion, 60-80% die within 90 days after stroke
for	onset or do not regain functional independence despite intravenous alteplase treatment,
Improvement	(4) due to modest rate of recanalization and reperfusion. Endovascular treatment
	enables fast recanalization and high reperfusion rates.
	The work group notes that individual components will occur in emergency department
	and inpatient care settings and that performance scores will be comprised of total
	performance across care teams, and not isolated to one area of care.
National	☐ Patient and Family Engagement
Quality	☐ Patient Safety
Strategy Domains	☐ Care Coordination
Domains	☐ Population/Public Health
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources
	☑ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization	The definitions and specifications used in the components of this measure are similar
with Existing	to those collected in the commonly employed stroke measures (i.e., Joint Commission
Measures	and/or AHA/ASA), ensuring parsimony in data collection strategies. A separate
	measure is being created to monitor global performance for quality improvement.
	NQF#2864 CSTK 01: NIHSS Score Performed for Ischemic Stroke Patients. Patients
	for whom an initial NIHSS score performed prior to acute recanalization therapy and
	documented or documented within 12 hours of arrival for patients who do not undergo
	recanalization therapy. Measure modified for use in this measure bundle to focus on
	documentation of score prior to initiation of treatment.
Measure	☐ Quality improvement
Purpose (Check	□ Accountability
all that apply) Type of	⊠Process
Measure	□ Outcome
(Check all that	
apply)	☐ Structure
Level of	□Individual Provider
Measurement	☑ Practice
(Check all that	⊠ System
apply) Care Setting	M Emouson ov. Deportments
(Check all that	☐ Emergency Departments
apply)	☑ Inpatient
FF J/	Outpatient
	☐ Post-Acute Care

Data Source (Check all that apply)	 ☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data ☐ Administrative Data/Claims ☑ Chart Review ☑ Registry
TD C	

References

- 1. Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP Jr, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, and Council on Clinical Cardiology. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2013;44(3):870-947.
- Powers WJ, Derdeyn CP, Biller J, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council. 2015
 American Heart Association/American Stroke Association focused update of the 2013 guidelines for the
 early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke regarding endovascular treatment: a guideline for
 healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke.
 2015;46:3020–3035.
- 3. Saver JL, Goyal M, van der Lugt A, et al. Time to Treatment with Endovascular Thrombectomy and Outcomes from Ischemic Stroke: A Meta-analysis. JAMA 2016;316(12):1279-1288.
- 4. Broderick JP, Palesch YY, Demchuk AM, et al. Endovascular therapy after intravenous t-PA versus t-PA alone for stroke. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368(10):893-903.

Endovascular Treatment and Imaging Measure Bundle

	nent and imaging Measure Bundle
Measure Descript	
	ents aged 18 years and older who received endovascular treatment who met all 6
therapy and imagin	
Measure Compon	ents
Numerator	All patients receiving endovascular treatment who received all 6 treatment
Statement	components:
	Component 1: Non-contrast brain CT or MRI performed and interpreted within 45 minutes of arrival or in-hospital stroke or for patients transferred receipt of imaging (e.g., disc or via remote server/dicom viewer).
	Component 2: Non-contrast brain imaging report or stroke team review directly addresses hemorrhage, mass, acute infarction.
	Component 3: Documented causative intracranial large vessel (artery) occlusion (LVO) on vascular imaging.
	Component 4: Groin puncture within 90 minutes of ED or transfer arrival
	Component 5: Final Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) score documented
	Component 6: Time to final TICI score documented.
Denominator	All patients aged 18 years and older who received endovascular treatment
Statement	
Denominator	Component 4 Only:
Exceptions	In-hospital stroke events
Exception	An exception is needed for in-hospital stroke events as time from ED or transfer
Justification	arrival are not present in the medical record for calculation of this component.
Supporting	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from
Guideline &	the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the
Other	measure:
References	
	 "Endovascular therapy requires the patient to be at an experienced stroke center with rapid access to cerebral angiography and qualified neurointerventionalists. Systems should be designed, executed, and monitored to emphasize expeditious assessment and treatment. Outcomes for all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)." (1) "Emergency imaging of the brain is recommended before any specific treatment for acute stroke is initiated (Class I; Level of Evidence A). In most instances, nonenhanced CT will provide the necessary information to make decisions about emergency management. (Unchanged from the 2013 guideline)"(1)

Relationship to	Proper patient selection, timely care and satisfactory technical outcome are
Desired	essential to achieve improved functional status in acute intra-arterial stroke
Outcome	therapy. This metric addresses indications and contraindications to treatment,
	Timing of key elements of initial imaging evaluation and initiation of invasive
	treatment, as well as the degree of reperfusion achieved. The measure intent is to
	streamline IA treatment at neuro-endovascular centers and those referring patients
	to these centers, thereby reducing the time to endovascular treatment and improve
	patient outcomes.
Opportunity for	Randomized trials have documented the utility of endovascular for acute stroke
Improvement	within 6 hours of onset(1), but systems of care to implement this technology are
	heterogeneous and often ad hoc and poorly developed. (2) This measure bundle
	requires that patients receive defined elements of care to optimize patient selection, improve timeliness of treatment and achieve the desired procedural results.
National Quality	<u> </u>
Strategy	☐ Patient and Family Engagement
Domains Domains	Patient Safety
2011WIII)	☐ Care Coordination
	☐ Population/Public Health
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources
	☐ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization	The definitions and specifications used in the components of this measure bundle
with Existing	are similar to those collected in the commonly employed STEMI and intravenous
Measures	thrombolysis metrics, ensuring parsimony in data collection strategies. A separate
	measure is being created to monitor global performance for quality improvement.
	NOT HOSSIAN AGE AND A LOS AND AND A LOS AND
	NQF #0661 Head CT or MRI Scan Results for AIS or Hemorrhagic Stroke
	Patients who Received Head CT or MRI Scan Interpretation within 45 minutes of
Measure	ED Arrival. Measure components were mirrored and added to bundled measure.
Purpose (Check	☑ Quality improvement
all that apply)	
Type of	⊠ Process
Measure (Check	□ Outcome
all that apply)	□ Structure
Level of	
Measurement	☐ Individual Provider
(Check all that	⊠ Practice
apply)	⊠ System
Care Setting	
(Check all that	✓ Inpatient
apply)	*
	□ Outpatient
D 4 G	□ Post-Acute Care
Data Source	☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data
(Check all that	☐ Administrative Data/Claims
apply)	⊠ Chart Review
	⊠ Registry
References	
	Derdeyn CP, Biller J, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council.
2015 Ameri	ican Heart Association/American Stroke Association focused update of the 2013 guidelines

- for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke regarding endovascular treatment: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2015;46:3020–3035.
- 2. Gropen T, Magdon-Ismail Z, Day D, et al.; on behalf of the NECC Advisory Group. Reginal Implementation of the Stroke Systems of Care Model recommendations of the Northeast Cerebrovascular Consortium. Stroke. 2009;40:1793-1802.

Carotid Imaging Measure

Measure Description

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with symptoms or a diagnosis of transient ischemic attack (TIA) or non-disabling^ ischemic stroke* receiving timely vascular imaging and carotid revascularization referral if appropriate.

	** *	
Measure Compor	Measure Components	
Numerator Statement	Patients aged 18 years and older with symptoms or a diagnosis of transient ischemic attack (TIA) or non-disabling^ ischemic stroke* for whom cross sectional imaging of the cervical cerebral vasculature, which at a minimum includes imaging** of the carotid artery, was performed for patients within 24 hours of inpatient admission or for patients attending an outpatient visit within 2 days. For those patients identified as having symptomatic stenosis between >/=70% and <100% based on the NASCET method, order for referral to carotid revascularization practice within 24 hours of imaging result availability. If stenosis is less than 70%, documentation of degree of stenosis fulfills this measure.	
Denominator	All patients aged 18 years and older with symptoms or a diagnosis of TIA or	
Statement	non-disabling^ ischemic stroke*	
Denominator	Documentation of posterior fossa localization	
Exceptions	Patient not a surgical or interventional candidate	
	Patient has unstable medical condition or contraindication that prevents Patient has unstable medical condition or contraindication that prevents	
	imaging (e.g., renal failure) • Patient declined/Left AMA	
	Fatient decimed/Left AlviA	
Exception	Exceptions were needed to address patient populations that are inappropriate for	
Justification	surgical and interventional procedures, reducing the likelihood that unnecessary	
	procedures would be performed. Additionally, exceptions were needed to address	
	individuals who could not undergo imaging procedures or declined to undergo	
	treatment.	
Supporting	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from	
Guideline &	the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the	
Other	measure:	
References		
	"Recommendations for Patients With Cerebral Ischemic Symptoms That	
	Have Resolved:	
	1. Noninvasive imaging of the cervical vessels should be performed	
	routinely as part of the evaluation of patients with suspected TIAs	
	(Class I; Level of Evidence A). (Unchanged from the 2009 TIA	
	scientific statement)	
	2. Noninvasive imaging by means of CTA or MRA of the	
	intracranial vasculature is recommended to exclude the presence	
	of proximal intracranial stenosis and/or occlusion (Class I; Level	
	of Evidence A) and should be obtained when knowledge of	
	intracranial stenoocclusive disease will alter management.	
	Reliable diagnosis of the presence and degree of intracranial	

	stenosis requires the performance of catheter angiography to confirm abnormalities detected with noninvasive testing. (Revised from the 2009 TIA scientific statement) 3. Patients with transient ischemic neurological symptoms should undergo neuroimaging evaluation within 24 hours of symptom onset or as soon as possible in patients with delayed presentations. MRI, including DWI, is the preferred brain diagnostic imaging modality. If MRI is not available, head CT should be performed (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(1)
Relationship to Desired Outcome	Acute carotid revascularization for symptomatic stenosis greater than 70% is safe and effective and should be performed within 2 weeks of the patient's last symptoms.(2) This modifiable risk factor for stroke predicts high frequency of adverse events soon after initial presentation. Evaluation and prompt referral encourages prompt effective treatment.
Opportunity for Improvement	About half of all recurrent strokes during the 7 days after a TIA occur in the first 24 hours and require emergency assessment including testing for pharmacological and interventional strategies.(3-5) Delays often occur due to lack of perceived urgency. System factors that impede early imaging and referral contribute, as well.(6)
National Quality Strategy Domains	 □ Patient and Family Engagement □ Patient Safety □ Care Coordination □ Population/Public Health □ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources ☑ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization with Existing Measures	Not Applicable
Measure Purpose (Check all that apply)	☑ Quality improvement☑ Accountability
Type of Measure (Check all that apply)	☑ Process☐ Outcome☐ Structure
Level of Measurement (Check all that apply)	☑ Individual Provider☑ Practice☑ System
Care Setting (Check all that apply)	 ☑ Emergency Departments ☑ Inpatient ☑ Outpatient ☐ Post-Acute Care
Data Source (Check all that apply)	 ☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data ☐ Administrative Data/Claims ☑ Chart Review

□ Registry

Definitions for Carotid Imaging Measure

^Non-disabling – is defined as any neurological symptom or sign lasting >24 hours but producing no disability of functional significance (Modified Rankin score <3). (Ferguson GG, Eliasziw M, Barr HWK, et al. The North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial. Stroke 1999; 30:1751-1758.

*TIA or ischemic stroke – acute onset within 7 calendar days

**Imaging is defined as CTA, MRA or Duplex Doppler ultrasonography. This measure requires that the estimate of stenosis included in the report of the imaging study employ a method such as the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) method for calculating the degree of stenosis. The NASCET method calculates the degree of stenosis with reference to the lumen of the carotid artery distal to the stenosis.

For duplex imaging studies the reference is indirect, since the degree of stenosis is inferred from velocity parameters and cross referenced to published or self-generated correlations among velocity parameters and results of angiography or other imaging studies which serve as the gold standard. In Doppler ultrasound, the degree of stenosis can be estimated using Doppler parameter of the peak systolic velocity (PSV) of the internal carotid artery (ICA), with concordance of the degree of narrowing of the ICA lumen. Additional Doppler parameters of ICA-to-common carotid artery (CCA) PSV ratio and ICA end-diastolic velocity (EDV) can be used when degree of stenosis is uncertain from ICA PSV. Reference (Grant et al, Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound, 2003).

A short note can be made in the final report, such as:

- "Severe left ICA stenosis of 70-80% by NASCET criteria" or
- "Severe left ICA stenosis of 70-80% by criteria similar to NASCET" or
- "70% stenosis derived by comparing the narrowest segment with the distal luminal diameter as related to the reported measure of arterial narrowing" or

"Severe stenosis of 70-80% — validated velocity measurements with angiographic measurements, velocity criteria are extrapolated from diameter data as defined by the Society of Radiologists in Ultrasound Consensus Conference Radiology 2003; 229;340-346."

References

- Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP Jr, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, and Council on Clinical Cardiology. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2013;44:870–947.
- 2. Rothwell PM, Eliasziw M, Gutnikov SA, et al; Endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis in relation to clinical subgroups and timing of surgery. Lancet. 2004;363(9413):915-924.
- 3. Chandratheva A, Mehta Z, Geraghty OC, et al; on behalf of the Oxford Vascular Study. Population-based study of risk and predictors of stroke in the first few hours after a TIA. Neurology. 2009;72(22):1941-1947.
- 4. Ois A, Cuadrado-Godia E, Rodriguez-Campello A, et al. High Risk of Early Neurological Recurrence in Symptomatic Carotid Stenosis. Stroke. 2009;40:2727-2731.
- 5. Marnane M, Ni Chroinin D, Callaly E, et al. Stroke recurrence within the time window recommended for carotid endarterectomy. Neurology. 2011;77(8):738-743.
- 6. Johansson E, Bjellerup J, and Wester P. Prediction of recurrent stroke with ABCD2 and ABCD3 scores in patients with symptomatic 50-99% carotid stenosis. BMC Neurol. 2014;14:223.

Defect Free Acute Inpatient Ischemic Stroke Measure Bundle

Measure Description

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke OR transient ischemic attack who were admitted to the hospital for inpatient care and received all appropriate intervention for optimal care (i.e., early antithrombotic administered, discharged on antithrombotic, and smoking cessation addressed) prior to discharge.

Measure Specifications

Numerator Statement

Patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack who were admitted to the hospital for inpatient care and received all 3 component interventions:

Component 1: Early Antithrombotic

Antithrombotic therapy received by end of hospital day two OR documentation of medical/patient exception

Component 2: <u>Discharged on Appropriate Antithrombotic</u>

Antithrombotic therapy prescribed at discharge:

- Appropriate antithrombotic for patients with stroke AND nonvalvular atrial fibrillation using therapeutic anticoagulation (warfarin, LMWH or direct factor inhibitors as approved by FDA), OR documentation of medical/patient exception,
- Appropriate antithrombotic for patients with stroke AND mechanical heart valve or valvular atrial fibrillation using anticoagulation with warfarin OR documentation of medical/patient exception, OR
- Appropriate antithrombotic for all other patients with stroke using antiplatelet or therapeutic anticoagulation

Component 3: Tobacco use management

Patients with ischemic stroke who have documentation of active smoking status OR former smoker with quit date less than 1 year from time of assessment provided counseling on the bad effects of tobacco, the benefit of quitting AND at least one of the following:

- Referral back to PCP for tobacco cessation support, AND/OR
- Referral to tobacco cessation clinic or tobacco dependence telephone quitline, AND/OR
- Prescription of tobacco dependence medications including nicotine replacement therapies products, bupropion SR or Varenicline, or any FDA-approved drugs for tobacco dependence therapies or referral to PCP

Documentation of never smoker or former smoker with quit date more than a year from time of assessment fulfills this component.

Definitions:

Antithrombotic therapy - includes FDA approved antiplatelet for secondary stroke prevention (aspirin, combination aspirin/dipyridamole, clopidogrel and ticlopidine) and anticoagulants (warfarin, therapeutic LMWH, direct factor inhibitors)

Prescribed - May include prescription given to the patient for antithrombotic therapy at discharge or antithrombotic therapy to be continued after discharge as documented in the discharge medication list.

Denominator	All acute ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attack patients aged 18 years and
Statement	older admitted for inpatient care.
Denominator	For all components
Exceptions	Patients discharged to hospice
Exceptions	 Patients discharged to hospice Patients who were placed on comfort measures by end of hospital day two
	 Patient died by end of hospital day two. Patient enrolled in a clinical trial
	Patient declines treatment or discharged against medical advice by end of hospital day true
	hospital day two.
E4.	Patients with documented contraindication to specific intervention. Patients with documented contraindication to specific intervention.
Exception Justification	Exceptions are warranted for individuals discharged to hospice, receiving comfort measures, enrolled in clinical trial, and who died as treatment plans required for
Justincation	measure are not clinically appropriate for these populations. Additionally, treatment
	cannot be provided to those who refuse or leave AMA. Patients with documented
	contraindication for the specific intervention justifies exception as well.
Supporting	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the
Guideline &	referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:
Other	referenced chinear guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:
References	Component 1: Early Antithrombotic
	• "Oral administration of aspirin (initial dose is 325 mg) within 24–48 h
	after stroke onset is recommended for treatment of most patients
	(Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(1)
	Component 2: Discharged on Appropriate Antithrombotic
	Appropriate antithrombotic for patients with AF or mechanical heart
	valve:
	"VKA [Vitamin K Antagonist] therapy (Class I; Level of Evidence)
	A), apixaban (Class I; Level of Evidence A), and dabigatran (Class I;
	Level of Evidence B) are all indicated for the prevention of recurrent
	stroke in patients with nonvalvular AF, whether paroxysmal or
	permanent. The selection of an antithrombotic agent should be individualized on the basis of risk factors, cost, tolerability, patient
	preference, potential for drug interactions, and other clinical
	characteristics, including renal function and time in INR therapeutic
	range if the patient has been taking VKA therapy."(2)
	 "Rivaroxaban is reasonable for the prevention of recurrent stroke in
	patients with nonvalvular AF (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(2)
	• "For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA and AF who are unable to
	take oral anticoagulants, aspirin alone is recommended (Class I; Level
	of Evidence A)."(2)
	• "The addition of clopidogrel to aspirin therapy, compared with aspirin
	therapy alone, might be reasonable (Class IIb; Level of Evidence
	B)."(2)
	• "For most patients with a stroke or TIA in the setting of AF, it is
	reasonable to initiate oral anticoagulation within 14 days after the
	onset of neurological symptoms (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(2)
	 "In the presence of high risk for hemorrhagic conversion (ie, large
	infarct, hemorrhagic transformation on initial imaging, uncontrolled
	hypertension, or hemorrhage tendency), it is reasonable to delay
	initiation of oral anticoagulation beyond 14 days (Class IIa; Level of
	Evidence B)."(2)

- "For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have rheumatic mitral valve disease and AF, longterm VKA therapy with an INR target of 2.5 (range, 2.0–3.0) is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(2)
- "For patients with a mechanical aortic valve and a history of ischemic stroke or TIA before its insertion, VKA therapy is recommended with an INR target of 2.5 (range, 2.0–3.0) (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(2)
- "For patients with a mechanical mitral valve and a history of ischemic stroke or TIA before its insertion, VKA therapy is recommended with an INR target of 3.0 (range, 2.5–3.5) (Class I; Level of Evidence C)."(2)

Appropriate antithrombotic for all other stroke patients:

- "For patients with noncardioembolic ischemic stroke or TIA, the use of antiplatelet agents rather than oral anticoagulation is recommended to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke and other cardiovascular events (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(2)
- "Aspirin (50–325 mg/d) monotherapy (Class I; Level of Evidence A) or the combination of aspirin 25 mg and extended-release dipyridamole 200 mg twice daily (Class I; Level of Evidence B) is indicated as initial therapy after TIA or ischemic stroke for prevention of future stroke."(2)
- "Clopidogrel (75 mg) monotherapy is a reasonable option for secondary prevention of stroke in place of aspirin or combination aspirin/dipyridamole (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B). This recommendation also applies to patients who are allergic to aspirin."
 (2)

Component 3: Tobacco use management

- "Patients Healthcare providers should strongly advise every patient with stroke or TIA who has smoked in the past year to quit (Class I; Level of Evidence C)." (2)
- "It is reasonable to advise patients after TIA or ischemic stroke to avoid environmental (passive) tobacco smoke (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)." (2)
- "Counseling, nicotine products, and oral smoking cessation medications are effective in helping smokers to quit (Class I; Level of Evidence A)." (2)
- "It is essential that clinicians and health care delivery systems consistently identify and document tobacco use status and treat every tobacco user seen in a health care setting."(3)
- "Individual, group, and telephone counseling are effective, and their effectiveness increases with treatment intensity."(3)
- "Numerous effective medications are available for tobacco dependence, and clinicians should encourage their use by all patients attempting to quit smoking—except when medically contraindicated or with specific populations for which there is insufficient evidence of effectiveness (i.e., pregnant women, smokeless tobacco users, light smokers, and adolescents)."(3)
- "Counseling and medication are effective when used by themselves for treating tobacco dependence. The combination of counseling and

- medication, however, is more effective than either alone. Thus, clinicians should encourage all individuals making a quit attempt to use both counseling and medication."(3)
- "Telephone quitline counseling is effective with diverse populations and has broad reach. Therefore, clinicians and health care delivery systems should both ensure patient access to quitlines and promote quitline use."(3)

Relationship to Desired Outcome

Component 1: The effectiveness of antithrombotic agents in reducing stroke mortality, stroke-related morbidity and recurrence rates has been studied in several large clinical trials. While the use of these agents for patients with acute ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attacks continues to be the subject of study, substantial evidence is available from completed studies. Data at this time suggest that antithrombotic therapy should be administered within 2 days of symptom onset in acute ischemic stroke patients to reduce stroke mortality and morbidity as long as no contraindications exist. Two large trials each demonstrated a nonsignificant trend in reduction in death or disability when treatment with aspirin was begun within 48 h of stroke; when data from the trials were combined, a modest but statistically significant benefit was seen.

Component 2: The effectiveness of antithrombotic agents in reducing stroke mortality, stroke-related morbidity and recurrence rates has been studied in several large clinical trials. While the use of these agents for patients with acute ischemic stroke and transient ischemic attacks continues to be the subject of study, substantial evidence is available from completed studies. Data at this time suggest that antithrombotic therapy should be prescribed at discharge following acute ischemic stroke to reduce stroke mortality and morbidity as long as no contraindications exist.

For patients with a stroke due to a cardioembolic source (e.g., atrial fibrillation, mechanical heart valve), warfarin is recommended unless contraindicated. In recent years, novel oral anticoagulants (NOACs) have been developed and approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for stroke prevention, and may be considered as an alternative to warfarin for select patients. Anticoagulation therapy is not generally recommended for secondary stroke prevention in patients presumed to have a non-cardioembolic stroke.

Anticoagulants at doses to prevent venous thromboembolism are insufficient antithrombotic therapy to prevent recurrent ischemic stroke or TIA.

Component 3: Cigarette smoking is the single most alterable risk factor contributing to premature morbidity and mortality, accounting for approximately 430,000 deaths in the United States. Smoking nearly doubles the risk of ischemic stroke. Numerous prospective investigations have demonstrated substantial decrease in coronary heart disease mortality for former smokers, and similar rapid decreases in risk with smoking are seen for ischemic stroke. The Framingham Heart Study concluded that smoking made a significant independent contribution to the risk of stroke. Although no randomized controlled trials have been performed, there is very strong consensus that patients who smoke should be counseled to stop smoking to decrease the risk of stroke. Research indicates that patients who receive even brief smoking cessation advice from their physicians are more likely to quit than those receiving no counseling at all. Addressing smoking habits and initiating cessation efforts are reasonable interventions during hospitalization for acute stroke and may promote the patient's medical recovery.

Opportunity	A recent study showed that optimal combination of secondary prevention medication
for	after recent non-cardioembolic stroke is noted in only 51% of eligible patients, but is
Improvement	associated with significantly lower risk of stroke, major vascular events and death
_	compared with none or single secondary preventive medication.(4) Individual
	performance measures on acute inpatient stroke care process have been consistently
	high since the development of stroke certification by hospitals, some of which has
	reached a ceiling effect over the years. However, achievement of defect-free care for
	individual patient remains low, ranging between 21.9% among non-primary stroke
	center certified hospitals to between 45-52% among stroke center certified
	hospitals.(5)
National	☐ Patient and Family Engagement
Quality	☐ Patient Safety
Strategy	□Care Coordination
Domains	□ Population/Public Health
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources
	☐ Efficient Use of Treatment Resources ☐ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization	The definitions and specifications used in the components of this measure are similar
with Existing	to those collected in the commonly employed stroke measures (i.e., Joint Commission
Measures	and/or AHA/ASA), ensuring parsimony in data collection strategies. A separate
Measures	measure is being created to monitor global performance for quality improvement.
Measure	☐ Quality improvement
Purpose (Check	
all that apply)	☑ Accountability
Type of	⊠Process
Measure	□ Outcome
(Check all that	□ Structure
apply)	Li Structure
Level of	☐ Individual Provider
Measurement	☑ Practice
(Check all that	⊠ System
apply)	
Care Setting (Check all that	Emergency Departments
apply)	☐ Inpatient
арргу)	□Outpatient
	☐ Post-Acute Care
Data Source	☐ Electronic health record (EHR) data
(Check all that	□Administrative Data/Claims
apply)	⊠Chart Review
	⊠ Registry
References	
	Saver JL, Adams HP Jr, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council,
Council on	Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, and Council on Clinical
	7. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for
	professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke.
2013;44:87 2. Kernan Wi	
	N, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on
	Vascular Disease. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient

- ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45:2160-2236.
- 3. US Department of Health and Human Services. Treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 Update. Am J Prev Med. 2008;35(2):158-176.
- 4. Park, J.H. and B. Ovbiagele, Optimal combination secondary prevention drug treatment and stroke outcomes. Neurology, 2015. 84(1): p. 50-56.
- 5. Johnson, AM, Goldstein LB, Bennett P, et al. Compliance with acute stroke care quality measures in hospitals with and without primary stroke center certification: the North Carolina Stroke Care Collaborative. J Am Heart Assoc, 2014;3(2):e000423.

Current Measure #9: Patient/Caregiver Nutritional Preferences

Measure Description

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a primary diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke or intracranial hemorrhage who have had a gastrostomy tube placed during the acute inpatient stay, and for whom there is documentation of shared decision making with the patient or the patient's surrogate decision maker, before the procedure was completed, that included discussion of at least two forms of providing nutrition, one of which is oral/natural nutrition.

Measure Compon	Measure Components		
Numerator	Patients aged 18 years and older with a primary diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke or		
Statement	intracranial hemorrhage who have had a gastrostomy tube placed during the inpatient stay, and for whom there is documentation of shared decision making with the patient or the patient's surrogate decision maker, before the procedure was completed, that included discussion of at least two forms of providing nutrition, one of which is oral/natural nutrition		
	Definitions:		
	Intracranial hemorrhage – nontraumatic intraparenchymal hemorrhage or nontraumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage. Does not include epidural or subdural hemorrhage or traumatic intracranial hemorrhage.		
	Shared decision making – an approach where clinicians and patients communicate together using the best available evidence when faced with the task of making decisions, where patients are supported to deliberate about the possible attributes and consequences of options, to arrive at informed preferences in making a determination about the best action and which respects patient autonomy, where this is desired.		
Denominator Statement	All patients aged 18 years and older with a primary diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke and intracranial hemorrhage who have had gastrostomy tube placed during the acute inpatient stay for the treatment of ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke		
Denominator Exclusion	Patients with gastrostomy tube prior to admission		
Denominator Exceptions	None		
Exception Justification	Not Applicable		
Supporting Guideline & Other	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:		
References	 "We suggest that a variety of factors, including patient preferences, quality of life, and prognosis be addressed with the patient and the family before placement of feeding tubes."(1) "The decision to pursue life-sustaining therapies or procedures, including CPR, intubation and MV [mechanical ventilation], artificial nutrition, or other invasive procedures, should be based on the overall goals of care, taking into account an 		

	individualized estimate of the overall benefit and risk of each treatment and the
	preferences and values of the patient (Class I; Level of Evidence B)"(2)
	"Patients who cannot take solid food and liquids orally should receive nasogastric,
	nasoduodenal, or PEG tube feedings to maintain hydration and nutrition while
	l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	undergoing efforts to restore swallowing (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(2)
	"Patients who elect to not have ANH [Artificial Nutrition and Hydration] based
	on discussion of the goals of care should be provided with the safest method of
	natural nutrition and educated about the potential risks and benefits of this
	approach (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(2)
D-1-4'	Discourant of contractomy take (by either reported on a decomination of the contractor of the contract
Relationship to	Placement of gastrostomy tube (by either percutaneous endoscopic approach, laparoscopic
Desired Outcome	or open surgical technique) often is used to treat patients who will need prolonged tube feedings. Although this device usually requires less care, complications, including
Outcome	involuntary removal of the tube or peritonitis, may occur. The risk of aspiration
	pneumonia is not eliminated by the use of a PEG. Early nasogastric (NG) tube feeding
	resulted in better functional outcome than feeding by PEG.(3) However, many long term
	facilities may not accept patients with an NG tube as the means of providing nutrition.
	Shared decision making with patient/caregiver promotes autonomy and allows for
	patient/caregiver-centered outcome and goals of care.
Opportunity for	Dysphagia is common after stroke occurring in 27-64% of patients and is associated with
Improvement	a number of complications that affect quality of life and increase mortality.(2) Currently,
	gastrostomy tube may be the only option for nutritional support in patients who continued
	to have dysphagia and require long term facility care.
National Quality	☐ Patient and Family Engagement
Strategy	☐ Patient Safety
Domains	☐ Care Coordination
	☐ Population/Public Health
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources
	☐ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization	Not Applicable
with Existing	
Measures	
Measure	☐ Quality improvement
Purpose (Check	□ Accountability
all that apply)	
Type of Measure (Check	⊠ Process
all that apply)	□ Outcome
	☐ Structure
Level of	☐ Individual Provider
Measurement	□ Practice
(Check all that	□ System
apply)	
Care Setting (Check all that	☐ Emergency Departments
`	☐ Inpatient
apply)	☐ Outpatient
1	□ Post-Acute Care

Do Not Cite. Draft for Work Group Review.

Data Source		☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data	
(Check all that apply)	☐ Administrative Data/Claims		
	☑ Chart Review		
		⊠ Registry	
References			
1.	ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Jain R, Maple JT, Anderson MA, et al. The role of endoscopy in enteral		
	feeding. Ga	strointestinal Endoscopy 2011; 74(1):7-12.	
2.	Holloway R	way RG, Arnold RM, Creutzfeldt CJ, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council,	
	Council on	Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, and Council on Clinical Cardiology. Palliative and end-of-life	
	care in strok	ke: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke	
	Association	. Stroke. 2014;45(6):1887-1916.	
3.		Lewis SC and Warlow C. Effect of timing and method of enteral tube feeding for dysphagic stroke DOD): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;365(9461):764-772	

Potentially Avoidable Complications Following Stroke

Measure Description

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke that developed any of the 5 component complications during inpatient stay.*

*A lower score is better. 0% is not the goal, but a lower score is better.

Measure Compon		
Numerator	Patients who developed any of the 5 following components during their inpatient	
Statement	stay:	
	Component 1: Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) or pulmonary embolism	
	(PE)(1)	
	Component 2: Aspiration pneumonia(1)	
	Component 3: Fall as defined as an unplanned descent to the floor with	
	or without injury (2-4)	
	Component 4: Urinary Tract Infection(2)	
	Component 5: Stage II or Greater Decubiti(2)	
Denominator	All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or	
Statement	intracranial hemorrhage	
Denominator Examples	For all components, except Falls:	
Exceptions	Patients who were placed on palliative/comfort measures during stay	
	before complication occurred (add time element – track performance until	
	decision made to place on palliative measures, then after that decision	
	made no longer score)	
	For DVT and PE Component:	
	 Patients who had an in-hospital stroke, 	
	• Patients who died during the hospitalization within 48 hours of admission	
	 DVT developed within 48 hours of admission or present on admission 	
	For Aspiration Component:	
	Diagnosed within 48 hours of the hospitalization or inpatient stroke event	
	Patients who died during the hospitalization within 48 hours of admission	
	For Falls Component:	
	• None	
	For UTI Component:	
	• In hospital stroke (link to onset of stroke event) within 48 hours,	
	Patients who died during the hospitalization within 48 hours,	
	UTI developed within 48 hours of hospitalization or present on admission	
	For Decubitus Ulcer Component:	
	·	
	 Inpatient stroke events within 48 hours, 	

	Documentation of presence of decubitus ulcer on admission	
Exception Justification	An exception was made for all components, except the Falls Component for patients receiving palliative care or comfort measures as the necessary interventions required to prevent these complications often do not align with overall goals of care. As treatment options would be restricted some potentially avoidable complications could not be prevented.	
	Exceptions for patients who had in-hospital stroke events and who died within 48 hours of admission were made for DVT and PE, aspiration, UTI, and decubitis ulcer components. These exceptions are appropriate as strokes may occur in patients being treated on an inpatient unit for other medical comorbidities. It would be difficult to isolate if complications developed prior to or after the inpatient stroke event. Similar to deaths occurring within 48 hours of admission, these events would be impossible to attribute solely to the stroke event.	
	Exceptions for DVT, UTI, and decubitus ulcers being documented upon admission are appropriate as these complications cannot be attributed to the current treatment team and/or provider.	
Calculation and Risk Adjustment Strategy	The AAN is publishing this measure for quality improvement only at this time. Calculation and weighting of individual measure components will be evaluated. Following testing of potential calculations strategies, further specifications will be released, which may include use for accountability programs.	
Supporting	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from	
Guideline &	<u> </u>	
	the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the	
Other	measure:	
References	 "Subcutaneous administration of anticoagulants is recommended for treatment of immobilized patients to prevent DVT (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(1) 	
	"The use of intermittent external compression devices is reasonable for treatment of patients who cannot receive anticoagulants (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(1)	
	 treatment of patients who cannot receive anticoagulants (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(1) "Assessment of swallowing before starting eating, drinking, or receiving oral medications is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(1) "Patients with suspected pneumonia or UTIs should be treated with appropriate antibiotics (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(2) 	
	treatment of patients who cannot receive anticoagulants (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(1) • "Assessment of swallowing before starting eating, drinking, or receiving oral medications is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(1) • "Patients with suspected pneumonia or UTIs should be treated with	
	 treatment of patients who cannot receive anticoagulants (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(1) "Assessment of swallowing before starting eating, drinking, or receiving oral medications is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(1) "Patients with suspected pneumonia or UTIs should be treated with appropriate antibiotics (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(2) "Early bowel and bladder care should be instituted to prevent complications such as constipation and urinary retention or infection (Class I, Level of Evidence A)." (2) "Use of indwelling catheters should be avoided if possible because of the risk of UTI (Class I, Level of Evidence A)." (2) "Frequent turning should be instituted in bedridden patients to prevent 	
	 treatment of patients who cannot receive anticoagulants (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(1) "Assessment of swallowing before starting eating, drinking, or receiving oral medications is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(1) "Patients with suspected pneumonia or UTIs should be treated with appropriate antibiotics (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(2) "Early bowel and bladder care should be instituted to prevent complications such as constipation and urinary retention or infection (Class I, Level of Evidence A)." (2) "Use of indwelling catheters should be avoided if possible because of the risk of UTI (Class I, Level of Evidence A)." (2) 	

	• "Fall precautions should be initiated, and the stroke patient should be told not to ambulate without assistance (Class I, Level of Evidence B)." (2)
Relationship to	These complications after stroke contribute to both morbidity and mortality for
Desired Outcome	patients. It is anticipated that measuring these complications and outcomes will drive improvement in processes to prevent them.
Opportunity for	It is anticipated that this measure will drive improvement at a hospital and system
Improvement	level and encourage a multidisciplinary approach involving patients, their
	families, nursing, clinicians and ancillary staff.
National Quality	☐ Patient and Family Engagement
Strategy Domains	☑ Patient Safety
Domains	☐ Care Coordination
	☐ Population/Public Health
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources
	☐ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization	Not Applicable
with Existing Measures	
Measure	☑ Quality improvement
Purpose (Check	☐ Accountability
all that apply)	
Type of Measure (Check all that	□ Process
apply)	☑ Outcome
Level of	□ Structure
Measurement	☐ Individual Provider
(Check all that	☐ Practice
apply)	⊠ System
Care Setting	☐ Emergency Departments
(Check all that	
apply)	☐ Inpatient Rehabilitation (i.e., IRF)
	□Outpatient
	☐ Post-Acute Care
Data Source	☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data
(Check all that apply)	☐ Administrative Data/Claims
арргу)	☐ Chart Review
D C	⊠ Registry
References 1. Jauch E	C, Saver JL, Adams HP Jr, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke
Council on Clini a guidel	c, Savet 3L, Adams 11 31, et al., on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke, Council on Cardiovascular Nursing, Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease, and Council cal Cardiology. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: ine for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke tion. Stroke. 2013;44(3):870-947.
2. Summer	rs D, Leonard A, Wentworth D, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association
	on Cardiovascular Nursing and the Stroke Council. Comprehensive overview of nursing
	rdisciplinary care of the acute ischemic stroke patient: a scientific statement from the an Heart Association. Stroke. 2009;40:2911–2944.

Do Not Cite. Draft for Work Group Review.

- 3. Tutuarima JA, van der Meulen JH, de Haan RJ, et al. Risk factors for falls of hospitalized stroke patients. Stroke. 1997;28:297–301.
- 4. National Database of Nursing Quality Indicators (NDNQI). Guidelines for data collection on the American Nurses Association's National Quality Forum Endorsed Measures. May, 2010. p.21 Available at: http://www.k-hen.com/Portals/16/ANAs%20NQF%20specs.pdf Accessed on June 8, 2016.

High and Moderate Intensity Statin Therapy Following Stroke

Measure Description

Percentage of patients aged 18 years to 74 years with a diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke or TIA who were prescribed± high intensity statin therapy* and patients aged 75 and older who were prescribed moderate^ or high intensity statin therapy at discharge.

Measure Componen	nts	
Numerator Statement	Patients aged 18 years to 74 years with a diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke or TIA who were prescribed± high intensity statin therapy* and patients aged 75 and older who were prescribed moderate^ or high intensity statin therapy at discharge.	
	Definitions:	
	*High Intensity Statin Therapy—defined as dose expected to reduce LDL-C by greater than or equal to 50% and includes the following: (1,2)	
	Atorvastatin 40-80mg everyday Rosuvastatin 20-40mg everyday	
	^Moderate Intensity Statin Therapy - defined as dose expected to reduce LDL-C by 30-50% and includes the following: (1,2)	
	Atorvastatin 10-20mg everyday Fluvastatin 40mg twice daily Fluvastatin XL 80mg everyday Lovastatin 40mg everyday Pitavastatin 2-4mg everyday Pravastatin 40-80mg everyday Rosuvastatin 5-10mg everyday Simvastatin 20-40mg everyday	
	± Prescribed – May include prescription given to the patient for statin therapy at discharge OR statin therapy to be continued after discharge as documented in the discharge medication list	
Denominator Statement	All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke or TIA	
Denominator	Documentation of medical reason(s) for not prescribing high intensity	
Exceptions	statin therapy at discharge:	
	 Contraindication to statin therapy including but not limited to: liver disease, patient taking medication with significant interaction to statin, statin allergy/intolerance etiology of stroke presumed to be NON-atherosclerotic (e.g. cardioembolic, secondary to dissection/trauma, vasculitis, etc.) AND absence of clinical ASCVD*** 	
	• Documentation of patient reason(s) for not prescribing high intensity	
	statin therapy at discharge:	
	 Patient expired during hospitalization 	
	o patient discharged to hospice or made comfort care	

	 patient left against medical advice patient refused treatment
Exception Justification	A medical reason exception has been included so that clinicians can exclude patients for whom prescribing a statin may not be appropriate.
	A patient reason exception has been included for patients who may decline receiving a statin. This exception should remove all patients who do not have a stroke due to atherosclerotic etiology. **Clinical Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease (ASCVD) - includes acute coronary syndromes, history of myocardial infarction, stable or unstable angina, coronary or other arterial revascularization, stroke or TIA, or peripheral atherosclerotic arterial disease.(2)
Supporting Guideline & Other References	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure: • "For patients with ASCVD or diabetes mellitus, consideration should be given to use of moderate- or high-intensity statin therapy, irrespective of baseline atherogenic cholesterol levels (Strength-A, Quality-High)."(1) • "First-line cholesterol-lowering drug therapy, unless contraindicated, is moderate- to high-intensity statin. The statin dosage may be increased or the patient switched to a more efficacious agent, if goal levels of atherogenic cholesterol are not achieved (Strength-A, Quality-High)."(1) • "The appropriate intensity of statin therapy should be initiated or continued: ○ A. Clinical ASCVD • 1. Age ≤ 75 and no safety concerns: High-intensity statin (Class I; Level of Evidence A) • 2. Age > 75 or safety concerns: Moderate-intensity statin (Class I; Level of Evidence A)"(2) • "Statin therapy with intensive lipid-lowering effects is recommended to reduce risk of stroke and cardiovascular events among patients with ischemic stroke or TIA presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin and an LDL-C level ≥100 mg/dL with or without evidence for other ASCVD (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(3) • "Statin therapy with intensive lipid-lowering effects is recommended to reduce risk of stroke and cardiovascular events among patients with ischemic stroke or TIA presumed to be of atherosclerotic origin, an LDL-C level <100 mg/dL, and no evidence for other clinical ASCVD (Class I; Level of Evidence C)."(3)
Relationship to	A large body of evidence exists supporting the use of appropriate intensity
Desired Outcome	statin therapy for secondary prevention in patients with clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease including patients with ischemic
	stroke due to large artery atherosclerosis, intrinsic small vessel disease as well as in patients with ischemic stroke not directly due to atherosclerosis but with evidence of atherosclerosis in an uninvolved cerebral or noncerebral

	vascular bed.(2) The Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in
	Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) study convincingly demonstrated that
	intensive lipid lowering therapy using statin medication was associated with
	significant reduction in the rate of recurrent ischemic stroke and other major
	coronary events.(4)
Opportunity for	Patients suffering from ischemic stroke frequently have atherosclerosis of
Improvement	cerebral or noncerebral vascular bed, or have clinical atherosclerotic
	cardiovascular disease and would benefit from high intensity statin therapy.
	However, rate of use of high intensity statin therapy is low, ranging from
	15.9-20.8% among eligible patients.(5) In a review of Get with the Guideline data, only 1 in 5 patients with a prior TIA/stroke had LDL levels <70 mg/dL
	indicating further opportunity to improve.(6)
	indicating further opportunity to improve.(0)
	This measure represents current best evidence, and will be updated on a
	regular basis to ensure medication list is consistent with current evidence.
National Quality	☐ Patient and Family Engagement
Strategy Domains	☐ Patient Safety
	□Care Coordination
	☐ Population/Public Health
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources
	☑ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization with	Similar measures exist, including, Lipid Management in Adult and
Existing Measures	NQF#0439 Discharged on Statin Medication (Joint Commission STK 06),
	but a separate measure was required to address this denominator
	population.(7-9) Treatment requirements and guidelines are sufficiently
	unique that harmonization of denominators was not possible.
Measure Purpose	☑ Quality improvement
(Check all that apply)	□ Accountability □
Type of Measure	⊠ Process
(Check all that apply)	□ Outcome
	□ Structure
Level of	☑ Individual Provider
Measurement	□ Practice
(Check all that apply)	□ System
Care Setting (Check	☐ Emergency Departments
all that apply)	
	□Outpatient
	□Post-Acute Care
Data Source (Check	☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data
all that apply)	□Administrative Data/Claims
	⊠Chart Review
	⊠ Registry
References	
1. Jacobson TA, Ito I	MK, Maki KC, et al. National lipid association recommendations for patient-centered
	slipidemia: part 1full report. J Clin Lipidol. 2015;9(2):129-169.
Stone NJ, Robinso	on JG, Lichtenstein AH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood

cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of

43

- Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2014;129(suppl 2):S1–S45.
- 3. Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45:2160-2236.
- 4. Amarenco P, Goldstein LB, Szarek M, et al. Effects of intense low-density lipoprotein cholesterol reduction in patients with stroke or transient ischemic attack: the Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) trial. Stroke. 2007;38(12):3198-3204.
- 5. Cumbler E, Wald H, Bhatt DL, et al., Quality of care and outcomes for in-hospital ischemic stroke: findings from the National Get With The Guidelines-Stroke. Stroke. 2014;45(1):231-238.
- 6. Saposnik G, Fonarow GC, Pan W, et al. Guideline-directed low-density lipoprotein management in high-risk patients with ischemic stroke: findings from Get with the Guidelines Stroke 2003 to 2012. Stroke 2014;45(11):3343-3351.
- 7. Woolley T, Canoniero M, Conroy W, et al. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. Lipid Management in Adults. Updated November 2013. Available at: https://www.icsi.org/asset/qz5ydq/LipidMgmt.pdf. Accessed on May 31, 2016.
- 8. Smith EE, Saver JL, Alexander DN, et al. Clinical performance measures for adults hospitalized with acute ischemic stroke: performance measures for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45(11):3472-3498.
- 9. The Joint Commission. Specifications Manual for National Hospital Inpatient Quality Measures Available at:
 - http://www.jointcommission.org/specifications manual for national hospital inpatient quality measur <u>es.aspx</u>. Accessed on May 31, 2016.

Cognitive Impairment Screening Following Stroke

	ent Screening Following Stroke
Measure Descript	
_	ke patients with documentation indicating validated cognitive screening was
completed or atten	npted.
Measure Compor	nents
Numerator	All stroke patients with documentation indicating validated cognitive screening*
Statement	was completed or attempted.
	*Validated cognitive screening is defined as a validated battery noted in the medical record: Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) ^{1,2} , Mini-Mental State Examination ^{1,3} , Cognistat (formerly known as Neurobehavioral Cognitive Status Examination (NCSE)) ¹ , Addenbrooke's Cognitive Examination-Revised (ACE-R) ¹ , Barrow Neurological Institute Screen for Higher Cerebral Functions (BNIS) ¹ , Brief Cognitive Assessment Tool (BCAT) ⁴ , Brief Cognitive Screening Exam (BCSE) ⁵ , Wechsler Memory Scale (WMS-IV) ⁶ , Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS) ⁷ , Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status (TICS) ⁸ , and appropriate instruments from the NIH Toolbox ⁹ . This list of current screening tools is finite to meet data collection requirements for electronic or registry collection and will be revisited during periodic updates of
	the measure specifications.
Denominator	All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke, non-
Statement	traumatic spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage and spontaneous subarachnoid hemorrhage discharged from acute care alive
Denominator	 Clinically infeasible to administer a screening.
Exceptions	Patients with a post stroke screen indicating intact cognitive function.
Exception Justification	Patient's medical condition may prevent cognitive screening, rendering the screening meaningless.
	Patients who have had a post-stroke screen with results indicating intact cognitive function do not need to have testing at additional locations to reduce burden to receiving facility provider and patient.
Supporting Guideline & Other	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure:
References	 "Screening for cognitive deficits is recommended for all stroke patients before discharge home." (Class I Level of Evidence B)(10) "When screening reveals cognitive deficits, a more detailed neuropsychological evaluation to identify areas of cognitive strength and weakness may be beneficial." (Class IIa Level of Evidence C)(10) "All patients with clinically evident stroke or TIA should be considered at risk for VCI [vascular cognitive impairment] (cognitive impairment) (Evidence Level A)." (11) "Screening for VCI (cognitive impairments) should be conducted using a validated screening tool, such as the Montreal Cognitive Assessment test (MoCA) (Evidence Level C)." (11)

Relationship to Desired Outcome Opportunity for Improvement	"Because physical and cognitive impairments after stroke have independent prognostic implications, evaluation of both domains should be routine in the clinical care of stroke patients." (10) Cognitive impairment are associated with poorer long-term outcomes. (10) Cognitive screening will guide patient and family involvement in their care plans. It is necessary to ensure patients understand medication and care regimens and cognitive screening would identify individuals who are unable to understand complex care plans prior to discharge ensuring proper supports are in place to achieve medication and care compliance. Cognitive impairment following stroke is prevalent affecting more than one-third of stroke survivors at 3 to 12 months following the stroke event. (10) In a follow-up to evaluate adherence to Canadian guidelines recommending cognitive screening following stroke events, it was found in a single institution evaluation that cognitive screening rates could be improved. (12)
	The work group noted feasibility concerns, and as written this measure is applicable only to inpatient care. The work group noted there is a strong need for patients receiving outpatient care to receive cognitive assessments, and it is encouraged providers complete or attempt screening within 72 hours of admission at each point of transition. Measure was not specified for outpatient given the potential burdens of implementation as patients could transition rapidly through multiple settings. Measure was not specified for post-acute care given the existing Continuity Assessment Record and Evaluation (CARE) requirements.(13)
National Quality Strategy Domains	□ Patient and Family Engagement □ Patient Safety □ Care Coordination □ Population/Public Health □ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources ☑ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization with Existing Measures	Not Applicable
Measure Purpose (Check all that apply)	☑ Quality improvement☑ Accountability
Type of Measure (Check all that apply)	☑ Process☐ Outcome☐ Structure
Level of Measurement (Check all that apply)	☑ Individual Provider☑ Practice☑ System
Care Setting (Check all that apply)	 □ Emergency Departments ⋈ Inpatient □ Outpatient □ Post-Acute Care
Data Source (Check all that apply)	 ☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data ☐ Administrative Data/Claims ☑ Chart Review

□ Registry

References

- 1. Burton L, Tyson SF. Screening for Cognitive Impairment After Stroke: A Systematic Review of Psychometric Properties and Clinical Utility. J Rehabil Med 2015;47:193-203.
- 2. Cumming TB, Bernhardt J, Linden T. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment. Short Cognitive Evaluation in a Large Stroke Trial. Stroke 2011;42:2642-2644.
- 3. Bour A, Rasquin S, Boreas A, et al. How predictive is the MMSE for cognitive performance after stroke? J Neurol. 2010; 257(4):630-637.
- 4. Mansbach WE, MacDougall EE, Rosenzweig AS. The Brief Cognitive Assessment Tool (BCAT): a new test emphasizing contextual memory, executive functions, attentional capacity, and the prediction of instrumental activities of daily living. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2012;34(2):183-194.
- 5. Hilsabeck RC, Holdnack JA, Munro Cullum C, et al. Brief Cognitive Status Examination (BCSE): Comparing Diagnostic Utility and Equating Scores to the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology 2015;30(5):458-467.
- 6. Al-Qazzaz NK, Ali SH, Ahmad SA, et al. Cognitive impairment and memory dysfunction after a stroke diagnosis: a post-stroke memory assessment. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment 2014; 10:1677-1691.
- 7. Green S, Sinclair E, Rodgers E, et al. The Repeatable Battery for the Assessment of Neuropsychological Status (RBANS) for post-stroke cognitive impairment screening. International Journal of Therapy and Rehabilitation 2013;20(11):536-541.
- 8. Barber M, Stott DJ. Validity of the Telephone Interview for Cognitive Status (TICS) in post-stroke subjects. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2004;19(1):75-79.
- 9. Hodes RJ, Insel TR, Landis SC. On behalf of the NIH Blueprint for Neuroscience Research. The NIH Toolbox: Setting a standard for biomedical research. Neurology 2013;80(S3):S1-S92. All NIH Toolbox-related materials are ©2012 Northwestern University and the National Institutes of Health.
- 10. Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2016;47:e98–e169.
- 11. Eskes GA, Lanctôt KL, Herrmann N, et al. Canadian Stroke Best Practice Recommendations: Mood, Cognition and Fatigue Following Stroke practice guidelines, update 2015. Int J Stroke. 2015;10(7):1130-1140.
- 12. McClure JA, Salter K, Foley N, et al. Adherence to Canadian Best Practice Recommendations for Stroke Care: vascular cognitive impairment screening and assessment practices in an Ontario inpatient stroke rehabilitation facility. Top Stroke Rehabil. 2012;19(2):141-148.
- 13. CMS. CARE Item Set and B-CARE. Available at: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives/Post-Acute-Care-Quality-Initiatives/CARE-Item-Set-and-B-CARE.html Accessed on November 1, 2016.

Current Measure #6: Rehabilitation Services Assessed

Measure	Description

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage or subarachnoid hemorrhage who were assessed for the need for occupational, physical, and/or speech rehabilitation services* at or prior to acute inpatient discharge AND

Screening results were used to determine referral recommendation to appropriate next level of care (either outpatient/ambulatory rehab, Long-Term Care Hospital (LTCH), Inpatient Rehabilitation Facility (IRF), Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF), Home Health Agency(HHA) or ambulatory rehabilitation), or documentation that no rehabilitation is necessary.

	•
Numerator Statement	Patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage or subarachnoid hemorrhage who were assessed for the need for occupational, physical, and/or speech rehabilitation services* at or prior to acute inpatient discharge AND Following assessment, documentation that results were used to recommend appropriate next level of care; either ambulatory or home-based rehabilitation, or referral to LTCH, IRF, SNF, or HHA or documentation that no rehabilitation is necessary *Rehabilitation Services – Includes services required in order to improve physical, behavioral, and speech functions
Denominator Statement	All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage or subarachnoid hemorrhage.
Denominator Exceptions	 Patient elected hospice services within 48 hours of admission Patient documentation indicates no noted rehabilitation needs Death during acute inpatient Discharged/transferred to another acute care facility (comprehensive stroke center, another acute hospital). Patient declined treatment including left AMA
Exception Justification	Exceptions were created to address individuals who would not be appropriate for a rehabilitation referral based on severity of stroke or palliative care plans. Exception is also appropriate for individuals whose clinical presentation does not warrant rehabilitative services. Additionally, an exception was warranted for those who decline these services.
Supporting Guideline & Other References	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the measure: • "Organized and coordinated post—acute inpatient rehabilitation care improves outcome. (Level A)"(1) • "It is recommended that stroke patients who are candidates for postacute rehabilitation receive organized, coordinated, interprofessional care."(Class I Level of Evidence A).(2)

	"It is recommended that stroke survivors who qualify for and have access to IRF
	care receive treatment in an IRF in preference to a SNF." (Class I Level of
	Evidence B).(2)
Relationship to	Evidence supports that assessment of acute stroke patients by rehabilitation professionals
Desired Outcome	can help guide appropriate PAC placement.(3) The choice of rehabilitation venue
	impacts health care utilization and patient outcomes. Although per diem costs vary by PAC venue, outcomes across select settings with regards to mortality, ED visits, length of
	inpatient rehabilitation, hospital readmission and functional abilities are not equal when
	controlling for clinical and demographic variables.(4) Better outcomes are also achieved
	when higher doses of rehabilitation are provided.(5,6) While this favors settings where
	rehabilitation treatment is more intense, it needs to be balanced with other considerations
	including whether inpatient, home-based or ambulatory rehabilitation is most appropriate
	in any particular case and regulations that guide admission to various venues. Therefore,
	recommendations for post-acute rehabilitation venue is best made by experts in rehabilitation medicine who have assessed patients with stroke and who are most
	knowledgeable about requirements of each post-acute care setting, the intensity of
	services provided at each venue, and where patient outcomes and greatest efficiency of
	care can most likely be realized.
Opportunity for	Decisions where stroke patients receive PAC should be made by health care providers
Improvement	familiar with the specific physical, cognitive, psychosocial and behavioral characteristics
	of the patient and the various requirements and outcomes specific to different PAC
National Quality	venues.
National Quality Strategy	☐ Patient and Family Engagement
Domains	☐ Patient Safety
	☐ Care Coordination
	☐ Population/Public Health
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources
Harmonization	☐ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
with Existing	The work group refined the previous rehabilitation service assessment measure (NQF#0244) to include a component addressing use of assessment to inform next level of
Measures	care. The Joint Commission has released a similar measure on rehabilitation assessment
111Casar Os	NQF#0441/STK 10: Assessed for Rehabilitation. A separate measure is needed to ensure
	assessment results are informing clinical care.
Measure	☑ Quality improvement
Purpose (Check	☑ Accountability
all that apply)	
Type of Measure (Check all that	⊠ Process
apply)	Outcome
Level of	□ Structure
Measurement	☑ Individual Provider
(Check all that	⊠ Practice
apply)	⊠ System
Care Setting	☐ Emergency Departments
(Check all that	☐ Inpatient
apply)	□ Outpatient
	□ Post-Acute Care

Data Source (Check all that apply) □ Administrative Data/Claims □ Registry □ Registry	
---	--

References

- 1. Duncan PW, Zorowitz R, Bates B, et al. Management of Adult Stroke Rehabilitation Care. A Clinical Practice Guideline. Stroke. 2005;36:e100-e143.
- 2. Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2016;47:e98–e169.
- 3. Bland MD, Whitsone M, Harris H, et al. Descriptive data analysis examining how standardized assessments are used to guide post-acute discharge recommendation for rehabilitation services after stroke. Phys Ther 2015;95:710-719.
- 4. Chan L, Sandel ME, Jette AM, et al. Does postacute care site matter? A longitudinal study assessing functional recovery after stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2013;94(4):622-629.
- 5. Lohse KR, Lang CE, Boyd LA. Is more better? Using metadata to explore dose-response relationships in stroke rehabilitation. Stroke. 2014;45(7):2053-2058.
- 6. Veerbeek JM, van Wegen E, van Peppen R, et al. What is the evidence for physical therapy poststroke? A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9(2):e87987.

Post-Acute Ischemic Stroke Screening and Care Measure Bundle

Measure Description

Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack within the last 3 months that received defect free care based upon their eligibility for all 6 performance measure components.

Measure Components

Numerator Statement

All eligible patients who received all 6 measure components:

• **Component 1:** Blood Pressure

Patients with a blood pressure <140/90 mmHg* OR Patients with a blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg who were:

- prescribed 2 or more anti-hypertensive agents,
- referred back to PCP when BP noted to be >140/90 mmHg, OR
- medical rationale documented (e.g., severe orthostasis) for more liberal blood pressure management.

• Component 2: <u>Diabetes Screening</u>

O Patient is screened for Diabetes Mellitus with either fasting plasma glucose, HbA1C or oral glucose tolerance test during reporting period

• Component 3: Appropriate Antithrombotic

Patients aged 18 years and older with ischemic stroke on appropriate antithrombotic:

- Appropriate antithrombotic for patients with stroke AND nonvalvular atrial fibrillation using therapeutic anticoagulation (warfarin, LMWH or direct factor inhibitors as approved by FDA), OR documentation of medical/patient exception,
- Appropriate antithrombotic for patients with stroke AND mechanical heart valve or valvular atrial fibrillation using anticoagulation with warfarin OR documentation of medical/patient exception, OR
- Appropriate antithrombotic for all other patients with stroke using antiplatelet or therapeutic anticoagulation
- o If not on antithrombotic, referral to appropriate provider for antithrombotic management.

• Component 4: <u>Tobacco Use Management</u>

Patients with stroke who have documentation of active smoking status OR former smoker with quit date less than 1 year from time of assessment provided counseling on the bad effects of tobacco, the benefit of quitting AND at least one of the following:

- Referral back to PCP for tobacco cessation support, AND/OR
- Referral to tobacco cessation clinic or tobacco dependence telephone quitline, AND/OR
- Prescription of tobacco dependence medications including nicotine replacement therapies products, bupropion SR or Varenicline, or any FDA-approved drugs for tobacco dependence therapies or referral to PCP

Documentation of never smoker or former smoker with quit date more than a year from time of assessment fulfills this component.

	• Component 5: Exercise
	 Patients prescribed or counseled on participating in an exercise
	program.
	• Component 6: Depression
	 Patient is screened for depression using a validated instrument at
	least once upon arrival at outpatient care (i.e. Beck Depression
	Inventory, PHQ-9, Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression)
Denominator	All patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of ischemic stroke or transient
Statement	ischemic attack (TIA) evaluated within three months on an ambulatory visit
Denominator	For all components:
Exceptions	Patient declines treatment and screening
	Patient enrolled in a clinical trial
	Contraindication documented
	Additional exceptions for individual components:
	Diabetes Screening: None
	Appropriate Antithrombotic: None
	Tobacco Use Management: None
	Exercise: Patients with documented contraindication or physical inability
	to participate in an exercise program
	 Depression: Patients with aphasia or other medical condition that
	precludes use of any validated screening tool
Exception	Exceptions are warranted for individuals enrolled in clinical trial as treatment plans
Justification	required for measure are not clinically appropriate for these populations interfering
	with clinical trials. Assessment and treatment cannot be provided to those who
	refuse or leave AMA. Patients with documented contraindication for the specific
	intervention justifies exception as well. An exception was created for exercise as
	medical conditions may prevent meaningful counseling on benefits. An exception
	was created for depression screening as some patients may not be able to fully
	engage in screening through a validated tool due to neurological impairments.
Supporting	The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from
Guideline &	the referenced clinical guidelines and represent the evidence base for the
Other	measure:
References	Component 1: Blood Pressure:
Actor onces	o "Initiation of BP therapy is indicated for previously untreated
	patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who, after the first several
	days, have an established BP ≥140 mm Hg systolic or ≥90 mm Hg
	diastolic (Class I; Level of Evidence B). Initiation of therapy for
	patients with BP <140 mm Hg systolic and <90 mm Hg diastolic
	is of uncertain benefit (Class IIb; Level of Evidence C). (Revised
	recommendation)"(1)
	o "Resumption of BP therapy is indicated for previously treated patients with known hypertension for both prevention of recurrent
	stroke and prevention of other vascular events in those who have
	had an ischemic stroke or TIA and are beyond the first several
	days (Class I; Level of Evidence A). (Revised
	recommendation)"(1)
	o "Goals for target BP level or reduction from pretreatment baseline
	are uncertain and should be individualized, but it is reasonable to
	achieve a systolic pressure <140 mm Hg and a diastolic pressure
	<90 mm Hg (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B). For patients with a

recent lacunar stroke, it might be reasonable to target an SBP of <130 mm Hg (Class IIb; Level of Evidence B). (Revised recommendation)"(1)

Component 2: Diabetes Mellitus:

- o "Testing to assess risk for future diabetes in asymptomatic people should be considered in adults of any age who are overweight or obese (BMI ≥25 kg/m² or ≥23 kg/m² in Asian Americans) and who have one or more additional risk factors for diabetes. B" (2)
- o "To test for prediabetes, fasting plasma glucose, 2-h plasma glucose after 75-g oral glucose tolerance test, and A1C are equally appropriate. B" (2)
- o "Testing to detect type 2 diabetes in asymptomatic people should be considered in adults of any age who are overweight or obese (BMI ≥25 kg/m² or ≥23 kg/m² in Asian Americans) and who have one or more additional risk factors for diabetes. B" (2)
- o "To test for type 2 diabetes, fasting plasma glucose, 2-h plasma glucose after 75-g oral glucose tolerance test, and A1C are equally appropriate. B" (2)
- O "Disorders of Glucose Metabolism and DM Recommendations. After a TIA or ischemic stroke, all patients should probably be screened for DM with testing of fasting plasma glucose, HbA1c, or an oral glucose tolerance test. Choice of test and timing should be guided by clinical judgment and recognition that acute illness may temporarily perturb measures of plasma glucose. In general, HbA1c may be more accurate than other screening tests in the immediate postevent period (Class IIa; Level of Evidence C). (New recommendation)"(1)
- "Use of existing guidelines from the ADA for glycemic control and cardiovascular risk factor management is recommended for patients with an ischemic stroke or TIA who also have DM or pre-DM (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(1)

Component 3: Appropriate Antithrombotic:

Appropriate antithrombotic for patients with AF or mechanical heart valve:

- "VKA therapy (Class I; Level of Evidence A), apixaban (Class I; Level of Evidence B) are all indicated for the prevention of recurrent stroke in patients with nonvalvular AF, whether paroxysmal or permanent. The selection of an antithrombotic agent should be individualized on the basis of risk factors, cost, tolerability, patient preference, potential for drug interactions, and other clinical characteristics, including renal function and time in INR therapeutic range if the patient has been taking VKA therapy."(1)
- "Rivaroxaban is reasonable for the prevention of recurrent stroke in patients with nonvalvular AF (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(1)
- o "For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA and AF who are unable to take oral anticoagulants, aspirin alone is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(1)

- o "The addition of clopidogrel to aspirin therapy, compared with aspirin therapy alone, might be reasonable (Class IIb; Level of Evidence B)."(1)
- "For most patients with a stroke or TIA in the setting of AF, it is reasonable to initiate oral anticoagulation within 14 days after the onset of neurological symptoms (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(1)
- o "In the presence of high risk for hemorrhagic conversion (ie, large infarct, hemorrhagic transformation on initial imaging, uncontrolled hypertension, or hemorrhage tendency), it is reasonable to delay initiation of oral anticoagulation beyond 14 days (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)."(1)
- "For patients with ischemic stroke or TIA who have rheumatic mitral valve disease and AF, longterm VKA therapy with an INR target of 2.5 (range, 2.0–3.0) is recommended (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(1)
- "For patients with a mechanical aortic valve and a history of ischemic stroke or TIA before its insertion, VKA therapy is recommended with an INR target of 2.5 (range, 2.0–3.0) (Class I; Level of Evidence B)."(1)
- "For patients with a mechanical mitral valve and a history of ischemic stroke or TIA before its insertion, VKA therapy is recommended with an INR target of 3.0 (range, 2.5–3.5) (Class I; Level of Evidence C)."(1)

Appropriate antithrombotic for all other stroke patients:

- o "For patients with noncardioembolic ischemic stroke or TIA, the use of antiplatelet agents rather than oral anticoagulation is recommended to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke and other cardiovascular events (Class I; Level of Evidence A)."(1)
- "Aspirin (50–325 mg/d) monotherapy (Class I; Level of Evidence A) or the combination of aspirin 25 mg and extended-release dipyridamole 200 mg twice daily (Class I; Level of Evidence B) is indicated as initial therapy after TIA or ischemic stroke for prevention of future stroke."(1)
- "Clopidogrel (75 mg) monotherapy is a reasonable option for secondary prevention of stroke in place of aspirin or combination aspirin/dipyridamole (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B). This recommendation also applies to patients who are allergic to aspirin." (1)

Component 4: Tobacco Use Management:

- o "Patients Healthcare providers should strongly advise every patient with stroke or TIA who has smoked in the past year to quit (Class I; Level of Evidence C)." (1)
- o "It is reasonable to advise patients after TIA or ischemic stroke to avoid environmental (passive) tobacco smoke (Class IIa; Level of Evidence B)." (1)
- "Counseling, nicotine products, and oral smoking cessation medications are effective in helping smokers to quit (Class I; Level of Evidence A)." (1)

- o "It is essential that clinicians and health care delivery systems consistently identify and document tobacco use status and treat every tobacco user seen in a health care setting."(3)
- o "Individual, group, and telephone counseling are effective, and their effectiveness increases with treatment intensity."(3)
- "Numerous effective medications are available for tobacco dependence, and clinicians should encourage their use by all patients attempting to quit smoking—except when medically contraindicated or with specific populations for which there is insufficient evidence of effectiveness (i.e., pregnant women, smokeless tobacco users, light smokers, and adolescents)."(3)
- "Counseling and medication are effective when used by themselves for treating tobacco dependence. The combination of counseling and medication, however, is more effective than either alone. Thus, clinicians should encourage all individuals making a quit attempt to use both counseling and medication."(3)
- "Telephone quitline counseling is effective with diverse populations and has broad reach. Therefore, clinicians and health care delivery systems should both ensure patient access to quitlines and promote quitline use."(3)

Component 5: Exercise:

- "Physical activity and exercise prescription should be incorporated into the management of stroke survivors. The promotion of physical activity in stroke survivors should emphasize low- to moderate-intensity aerobic activity, musclestrengthening activity, reduction of sedentary behavior, and risk management for secondary prevention of stroke."(4)
- "After successful screening, an individually tailored exercise program is indicated to enhance cardiorespiratory fitness and to reduce the risk of stroke recurrence." (Class I; Level A (for improved fitness); Level B (for reduction of stroke risk)(5)

Component 6: Depression:

- o "All patients with stroke should be screened for depressive symptoms, given the high prevalence of depression poststroke, the need for screening to detect depression, and the strong evidence for treating symptomatic depression poststroke (Evidence Level B)."(6)
- "Screening should be undertaken using a validated tool to maximize detection of depression (Evidence Level B); table 1A – a summary of suggested validated tools – is available at www.strokebestpractices.ca.
 - Screening for PSD may take place at various stages throughout the continuum of stroke care, particularly at transition points (Evidence Level C). Repeated screening may be required since the ideal timing for screening for PSD is unclear."(6)
- "Screening for depressive symptoms should be considered during transition points in care, such as from an inpatient acute setting to an inpatient rehabilitation setting, and or [sic] before return to the community (Evidence Level C)."(6)

o "Screening for depressive symptoms should be considered following discharge to the community, at stroke prevention clinic assessments, during follow-up appointments, and during periodic health assessments with primary care practitioners and consulting specialists (Evidence Level C)."(6)

Measure Importance

Relationship to Desired Outcome

Blood Pressure: Treatment of hypertension is considered to be among the most important interventions for secondary prevention of ischemic stroke. Defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) \geq 140 mm Hg or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) \geq 90 mm Hg, an estimated 78 million Americans have hypertension. The prevalence among patients with a recent ischemic stroke is \approx 70%. The risk for a first ischemic stroke is directly related to blood pressure (BP) starting with an SBP as low as 115 mm Hg.

Diabetes Mellitus: Diabetes mellitus, defined by elevated glycemic markers, is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD), which is the most common cause of death among adults with diabetes mellitus, underscoring the need for aggressive CVD risk factor management, noting that evidence is lacking that treatment of diabetes specifically reduces risk of recurrent stroke.

Antithrombotic Therapy: Appropriate use of antithrombotic therapy reduces the risk of recurrent stroke.

Smoking Cessation: Cigarette smoking is an important independent risk factor for first ischemic stroke and contributes to an increased risk for silent brain infarction. It is also associated with a substantially increased risk for stroke recurrence in the elderly, noting that risk for recurrent stroke in younger populations is less well documented.

Exercise: Physical inactivity after stroke is highly prevalent. The assessed body of evidence clearly supports the use of exercise training (both aerobic and strength training) for stroke survivors.(5) Exercise training improves functional capacity, the ability to perform activities of daily living, and quality of life, and it reduces the risk for subsequent cardiovascular events.(4)

Depression: Poststroke depression impedes recovery and results in worse long-term outcomes. There is need for a system of care that ensures screening for poststroke depression as a standard and consistent component of clinical practice across settings as stroke patients transition from acute care to active rehabilitation and reintegration into their community. Pharmacological treatment has been associated with a reduction of depressive symptomatology.

Opportunity for Improvement

Despite the importance of each of these components it is anticipated that providers can improve quality of care provided by evaluating global performance on care provided following a stroke.

The work group notes that individual components may occur across outpatient care team and intent was for provider to meet criteria if care components were coordinated with appropriate specialist or primary care provider.

National Quality	☐ Patient and Family Engagement
Strategy	☐ Patient Safety
Domains	☐ Care Coordination
	□ Population/Public Health
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources
	☐ Efficient Cose of Fleatment Resources ☐ Clinical Process/Effectiveness
Harmonization	The definitions and specifications used in the components of this measure are
with Existing	similar to those collected in the commonly employed stroke measures (i.e., CMS,
Measures	Joint Commission and/or AHA/ASA), ensuring parsimony in data collection
	strategies. A separate measure is being created to monitor global performance for
	quality improvement.
	NQF #18/PQRS #2326 Controlling High Blood Pressure component is similar
	with additional specification added to allow referral/coordination with Primary
	Care Provider to meet measure composite.
	NQF Measure #0057 Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)
	testing and #0059 Comprehensive Diabetes Care: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Poor
	Control (>9.0%) were modified to include patients in the denominator beyond those diagnosed diabetes mellitus.
	NQF#0435 and #3042 STK 02: Discharged on Antithrombotic Therapy is similar
	with additional specification added to allow referral/coordination with appropriate
	health care provider to meet measure composite.
	NQF #0028/PQRS #226 Preventive Care and Screening: Tobacco Use: Screening
	and Cessation is similar with additional specification added to allow
	referral/coordination with Primary Care Provider to meet measure composite.
	NQF #0103/PQRS# 325 Adult Major Depressive Disorder: Comprehensive
	Depression Evaluation: Diagnosis and Severity is a treatment measure for patients
	with Major Depressive Disorder.
	NQF #0518 Depression Assessment Conducted is intended for home health services.
	NQF #0711 Depression Remission at Six Months, NQF #0710 Depression
	Remission at Twelve Months are treatment measures for patients with depression
	identified. The work group developed this depression component to capture
	screening for poststroke depression in the outpatient setting.
Measure	☑ Quality improvement
Purpose (Check	⊠ Accountability
all that apply)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Type of	□ Process
Measure (Check	□ Outcome
all that apply)	☐ Structure
Level of	☐ Individual Provider
Measurement	☑ Practice
(Check all that	⊠ System
apply)	•
Care Setting (Check all that	☐ Emergency Departments
apply)	☐ Inpatient
appry)	☑ Outpatient
	☐ Skilled Nursing Home

Data Source (Check all that apply)	 ☑ Electronic health record (EHR) data ☐ Administrative Data/Claims ☑ Chart Review ☑ Registry

References

- 1. Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45:2160-2236.
- 2. American Diabetes Association. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2016;39(S1):S13-S22.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 Update. Am J Prev Med. 2008;35(2):158-176.
- 4. Billinger SA, Arena R, Bernhardt J, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, and Council on Clinical Cardiology. Physical activity and exercise recommendations for stroke survivors: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45:2532–2553
- 5. Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2016;47:e98–e169.
- 6. Eskes GA, Lanctôt KL, Herrmann N, et al. Canadian Stroke Best Practice Recommendations: Mood, Cognition and Fatigue Following Stroke practice guidelines, update 2015. Int J Stroke. 2015;10(7):1130-1140.

Functional Outcome Assessment Following Recanalization Therapy for Acute Ischemic Stroke

Percentage of patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received any acute reperfusion therapy who have a functional outcome assessment documented at 90 days. Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received IV t-PA, IA t-PA, or mechanical endovascular reperfusion who have a Modified Rankin Score (mRS) administered by trained facility staff at the institution that completed the recanalization treatment documented between day 75 to 105 (90 days +/- 15 days) after intervention obtained via telephone or in-person. All Patients discharged with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received acute reperfusion therapy. Patient lost to follow-up (i.e., 3 phone call attempts at number documented during inpatient stay without response, registered mail sent without response, or home visit attempted without response.) Patient declines Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)	Measure Descript	tion
Authoritor Statement Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received IV t-PA, IA t-PA, or mechanical endovascular reperfusion who have a Modified Rankin Score (mRS) administered by trained facility staff at the institution that completed the recanalization treatment documented between day 75 to 105 (90 days +/- 15 days) after intervention obtained via telephone or in-person. All Patients discharged with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received acute reperfusion therapy. Patient lost to follow-up (i.e., 3 phone call attempts at number documented during inpatient stay without response, registered mail sent without response, or home visit attempted without response.) Patients who had comfort measures documented within 48 hours of admission Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received IV t-PA, IA t-PA, or mechanical endovascular reperfusion who have a Modified Rankin Score (mRS) administered by trained facility staff at the institution that completed the recanalization treatment documented between day 75 to 105 (90 days +/- 15 days) after intervention obtained via telephone or in-person. All Patients discharged with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received acute reperfusion therapy. Patient lost to follow-up (i.e., 3 phone call attempts at number documented during inpatient stay without response, registered mail sent without response, or home visit attempted without response.) Patient who had comfort measures documented within 48 hours of admission Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
endovascular reperfusion who have a Modified Rankin Score (mRS) administered by trained facility staff at the institution that completed the recanalization treatment documented between day 75 to 105 (90 days +/- 15 days) after intervention obtained via telephone or in-person. Denominator Statement	a functional outcon	the assessment documented at 90 days.
endovascular reperfusion who have a Modified Rankin Score (mRS) administered by trained facility staff at the institution that completed the recanalization treatment documented between day 75 to 105 (90 days +/- 15 days) after intervention obtained via telephone or in-person. Denominator Statement	Numerator	Patients with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received IV t-PA, IA t-PA, or mechanical
trained facility staff at the institution that completed the recanalization treatment documented between day 75 to 105 (90 days +/- 15 days) after intervention obtained via telephone or in-person. All Patients discharged with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received acute reperfusion therapy. Patient lost to follow-up (i.e., 3 phone call attempts at number documented during inpatient stay without response, registered mail sent without response, or home visit attempted without response.) Patient declines Patient declines Patient declines Reception Exception Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
documented between day 75 to 105 (90 days +/- 15 days) after intervention obtained via telephone or in-person. All Patients discharged with Acute Ischemic Stroke who received acute reperfusion therapy. Penominator Exceptions Patient lost to follow-up (i.e., 3 phone call attempts at number documented during inpatient stay without response, registered mail sent without response, or home visit attempted without response.) Patients who had comfort measures documented within 48 hours of admission Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		<u> </u>
Via telephone or in-person.		<u>*</u>
Denominator Statement		
Statement Denominator Exceptions		via telephone or in-person.
Statement Denominator Exceptions		
Patient lost to follow-up (i.e., 3 phone call attempts at number documented during inpatient stay without response, registered mail sent without response, or home visit attempted without response.) Patients who had comfort measures documented within 48 hours of admission Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
during inpatient stay without response, registered mail sent without response, or home visit attempted without response.) Patients who had comfort measures documented within 48 hours of admission Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
or home visit attempted without response.) • Patients who had comfort measures documented within 48 hours of admission • Patient declines • mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: • "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		• Patient lost to follow-up (i.e., 3 phone call attempts at number documented
 Patients who had comfort measures documented within 48 hours of admission Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1) 	Exceptions	during inpatient stay without response, registered mail sent without response,
 Patients who had comfort measures documented within 48 hours of admission Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1) 		or home visit attempted without response.)
admission Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
 Patient declines mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1) 		
 mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score documented in medical record Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1) 		
Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: • "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
Exception Justification Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References Other References Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		• mRS score at 90 days (+/-15 days) completed by alternate source and score
assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References * "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		documented in medical record
assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References * "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. The following clinical recommendation statements are quoted verbatim from the referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for the measure: • "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)	Exception	Exceptions were needed to address individuals who refused to complete the
who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References **Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)	Justification	assessment or were lost to follow-up. Individuals who were moved to palliative care
who had the mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection. Supporting Guideline & Other References **Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		are not appropriate for comparison in the patient outcome assessment. Individuals
Supporting Guideline & Other References **Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
Supporting Guideline & Other References **Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
Guideline & Other References • "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
Other References • "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		
• "Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)	Guideline &	referenced clinical guidelines and quality metrics represent the evidence base for
define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)		the measure:
and timely intra-arterial revascularization procedures (Class I; Level of Evidence E)."(1)	References	"Outcomes on all patients should be tracked. Facilities are encouraged to
Evidence E)."(1)		define criteria that can be used to credential individuals who can perform safe
"This interview could be conducted over the telephone if necessary (Class I)		Evidence E)."(1)
This interview could be conducted over the telephone, it necessary. (Class I,		• "This interview could be conducted over the telephone, if necessary. (Class I;
Level of Evidence B.)"(2,3)		
Relationship to "The mRS at 3 months after stroke has become the accepted standard for assessing	Relationship to	,
Desired recovery from ischemic stroke and has been used in numerous recent large	Desired	
Outcome randomized clinical trials."(3) The Joint Commission and AHA/ASA have released	Outcome	randomized clinical trials."(3) The Joint Commission and AHA/ASA have released
similar measures to be applied by comprehensive stroke centers.(3,4) This process		
measure is mirrored on existing mRS measures with expanded care settings. By		measure is mirrored on existing mRS measures with expanded care settings. By
developing a process measure applying across care settings it is hoped performance		developing a process measure applying across care settings it is hoped performance
on patient outcome benchmarks and comparisons can be developed. The work group		on patient outcome benchmarks and comparisons can be developed. The work group
will evaluate directly measuring patient stroke outcomes in future updates to this		will evaluate directly measuring patient stroke outcomes in future updates to this
measurement set.		
Opportunity for "The Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) is the accepted standard for assessing recovery	Onnortunitfo	"The Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) is the accepted standard for assessing recovery
Improvement post-stroke. As such, it has become the most widely used clinical outcome measure	Opportunity for	The state of the s

	for stroke clinical trials. Scores are used to measure the degree of disability or	
	dependence in activities of daily living. Score reliability and reproducibility are	
	improved through use of a structured interview by a trained evaluator. Interviews may be conducted in-person or over the phone. According to guideline	
	recommendations from the American Heart Association/American Stroke	
	Association, standardized interviews to obtain a mRS score should be conducted for	
	acute ischemic stroke patients treated with IV or IA thrombolytic (t-PA) therapy or	
	mechanical endovascular reperfusion therapy at 3 months (90 days); however,	
	recovery may continue well beyond 3 months for many ischemic stroke patients."(4)	
	Measure intent was to capture this 90 follow-up one time. Individuals who had the	
	mRS previously completed were also removed to reduce duplicative data collection.	
National Quality	☐ Patient and Family Engagement	
Strategy Domains	☐ Patient Safety	
Domains	☐ Care Coordination	
	☐ Population/Public Health	
	☐ Efficient Use of Healthcare Resources	
	☐ Clinical Process/Effectiveness	
Harmonization	AHA/ASA (3) and Joint Commission(4) have developed and released similar	
with Existing	measures. A separate measure was created mirroring NQF#2865 (Joint Commission	
Measures	CSTK-02: Modified Rankin Score (mRS) at 90 Days to expand care settings beyond	
	certified stroke centers with additional exceptions created to reduce duplicative data collection.	
Measure		
Purpose (Check	☑ Quality improvement	
all that apply)	☐ Accountability	
Type of	⊠ Process	
Measure (Check	□ Outcome	
all that apply)	□ Structure	
Level of	☐ Individual Provider	
Measurement	⊠ Practice	
(Check all that	⊠ System	
apply)		
Care Setting (Check all that	☐ Emergency Departments	
apply)	☐ Inpatient	
appiy)	☐ Outpatient	
	⊠ Post-Acute Care	
Data Source	⊠Electronic health record (EHR) data	
(Check all that	□Administrative Data/Claims	
apply)	☐ Chart Review	
	⊠ Registry	
References		
1. Powers WJ, Derdeyn CP, Biller J, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council. 2015 American Heart Association/American Stroke Association focused update of the 2013 guidelines		
for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke regarding endovascular treatment: a		
	or healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke	
Association	Straka 2015:46:3020 2025	

- 2. Schwamm LH, Audebert HJ, Amarenco P, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council; Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Interdisciplinary Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; and the Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention. Recommendations for the implementation of telemedicine within stroke systems of care: a policy statement from the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2009;40:2635–2660.
- 3. Leifer D, Bravata DM, Connors JJ 3rd, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Special Writing Group of the Stroke Council, Atherosclerotic Peripheral Vascular Disease Working Group, Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia, and Council on Cardiovascular Nursing. Metrics for measuring quality of care in comprehensive stroke centers: detailed follow-up to Brain Attack Coalition comprehensive stroke center recommendations: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2011;42:e369.
- 4. The Joint Commission. Disease-specific care certification program. Comprehensive stroke: performance measurement implementation guide. Oakbrook Terrace (IL): The Joint Commission; 2015 Mar. 278 p.

Do Not Cite. Draft for Work Group Review.

Contact Information

American Academy of Neurology 201 Chicago Avenue Minneapolis, MN 55415 quality@aan.com

- vii Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:e29–e322.
- viii Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:e29–e322.
- ix Centers for Disease Control. Prevalence of stroke United States. 2006-2010. MMWR 2012;61(20):379-382.
- ^x Kelly-Hayes M, Beiser A, Kase CS, et al. The influence of gender and age on disability following ischemic stroke: the Framingham Study. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2003;12:119–126.
- xi Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:e29–e322.
- xii Howard G, Moy CS, Howard VJ, et al.; for the REGARDS Investigators. Where to Focus Efforts to Reduce the Black-White Disparity in Stroke Mortality: Incidence Versus Case Fatality? Stroke. Published online before print June 2, 2016. Available at:

http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/early/2016/06/02/STROKEAHA.115.012631.full.pdf+html Accessed on June 8, 2016.

- xiii Centers for Disease Control. Prevalence of stroke United States. 2006-2010. MMWR 2012;61(20):379-382.
- xiv Centers for Disease Control. Prevalence of stroke United States. 2006-2010. MMWR 2012;61(20):379-382.
- ^{xv} The ATLANTIS, ECASS, and NINDS rt-PA Study Group Investigators. Association of outcome with early stroke treatment: pooled analysis of ATLANTIS, ECASS, and NINDS rt-PA stroke trials. *Lancet*. 2004;363:768–74.
- xvi Duncan PW, Zorowitz R, Bates B, et al. Management of Adult Stroke Rehabilitation Care. A Clinical Practice Guideline. Stroke. 2005;36:e100-e143.
- xvii US Department of Health and Human Services. Treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 Update. Am J Prev Med. 2008;35(2):158-176.
- xviii Summers D, Leonard A, Wentworth D, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Nursing and the Stroke Council. Comprehensive overview of nursing and interdisciplinary care of the acute ischemic stroke patient: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Stroke. 2009;40:2911–2944.

ⁱ Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:e29–e322.

ii Kochanek KD, Xu JQ, Murphy SL, Arias E. Mortality in the United States, 2013. NCHS Data Brief, No. 178. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, US Dept. of Health and Human Services; 2014.

Heron M. Deaths: Leading causes for 2013. National vital statistics reports: vol 65 no 2. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2016.

iv Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:e29–e322.

^v Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:e29–e322.

vi Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. on behalf of the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131:e29–e322.

xix ASGE Standards of Practice Committee, Jain R, Maple JT, Anderson MA, et al. The role of endoscopy in enteral feeding. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2011; 74(1):7-12.

xx Jauch ED, Saver JL, Adams HP, et al. Guidelines for the Early Management of Patients With Acute Ischemic Stroke: A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2013;44:870–947.

xxiStone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2014;129(suppl 2):S1–S45.

xxii/Kernan WN, Ovbiagele B, Black HR, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45:1-15.

xxiii Holloway, RG, Arnold RM, Creutzfeldt CJ, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, and Council on Clinical Cardiology. Palliative and end-of-life care in stroke: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45(6):1887-1916.

xxiv Billinger SA, Arena R, Bernhardt J, et al; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, and Council on Clinical Cardiology. Physical activity and exercise recommendations for stroke survivors: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45:2532–2553

xxv Powers WJ, Derdeyn CP, Biller J, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council. 2015 American Heart Association/American Stroke Association focused update of the 2013 guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke regarding endovascular treatment: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2015;46:3020–3035. xxvi Jacobson TA, Ito MK, Maki KC, et al. National lipid association recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia: part 1--full report. J Clin Lipidol. 2015;9(2):129-169.

xxvii Eskes GA, Lanctôt KL, Herrmann N, et al. Canadian Stroke Best Practice Recommendations: Mood, Cognition and Fatigue Following Stroke practice guidelines, update 2015. Int J Stroke. 2015;10(7):1130-1140.

xxviii American Diabetes Association. Classification and Diagnosis of Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2016;39(S1):S13-S22.

xxix Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, et al.; on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2016;47:e98–e169.

xxx CMS. IMPACT Act of 2014 Available at: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/Post-Acute-Care-Quality-Initiatives/IMPACT-Act-of-2014-and-Cross-Setting-Measures.html Accessed on June 8, 2016,